WESTERN SYDNEY UNIVERSITY

Guidelines for competitive assessment for Higher Degree Research (HDR) Scholarships

PURPOSE

These guidelines are used to assist with the assessment of HDR scholarship applications received by the Graduate Research School.

The Western Sydney University HDR Scholarship Assessment Panel will review and endorse scholarship offers based on meeting entrance criteria and recommendations put forward by Schools and Institutes.

The Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research, Enterprise and International) will approve all scholarship offers based on the recommendation of the Western Sydney University HDR Scholarship Assessment Panel.

HOW APPLICATIONS WILL BE ASSESSED

HDR scholarship applications are assessed using a scoring criteria. Applications for scholarships are assessed on the basis of evidence of academic merit and performance; research output and/or relevant research experience; and demonstrated research skills. It is expected that only exceptional applicants will be recommended for a scholarship.

Each application will be scored by the Western Sydney University in accordance with these guidelines.

- Section 1 Academic Qualifications and Performance
- Section 2 Research Output and Experience
- Section 3 Supervisor Eligibility
- Section 4 Alignment with Strategic Research Priorities
- Section 5 Equity

Scholarship offers are subject to applicants meeting the admission criteria for the relevant Higher Research Degree and receiving approval from the relevant School or Institute.

Applicants must provide a letter of recommendation that addresses their suitability for the proposed project from a suitable academic supervisor to be eligible for a scholarship.

Applicants that do not follow the prescribed application process, do not apply or do not provide supporting documentation by the relevant closing date, provide insufficient evidence to support their application or are unable to meet the requirements described in this document will be excluded from the scholarship ranking process.

HDR SCHOLARSHIP METRIC – APPLICATION SCORING CRITERIA

- The Graduate Research School will assess and allocate scores against criteria based on the evidence provided by the applicant.
- The relevant School or Institute will be asked to review the score and make recommendations to the Scholarship Assessment Panel.
- Any recommendation to adjust a score must be accompanied by a comprehensive justification.

MAXIMUM POINTS: 24	
Qualifications must be conferred or pending conferral (e.g. awaiting graduation). If the applicant holds more than one postgraduate qualification, only the qualification with the highest ranking will be scored.	Points
Master of Philosophy / Masters (Honours)	
Vinimum one-year degree that is at least 66% independent research.	22
Masters by Research Minimum two-year degree that is at least 50% (one year) independent research and 50% (one year) research training coursework. The purpose of the degree must be to provide research training.	
Points are awarded based on thesis year results only. 85% +	21
80% - 84%	20
75% - 79%	19
 Masters by Coursework Minimum one-year degree that is at least 50% supervised research component, or a two-year degree that is at least 25% supervised research component, with independent examination. a) The 25% equates to 40 credit points of research, that could comprise of a smaller research dissertation (30 CPs) with some research coursework (10 CPs). b) Points are awarded based on thesis results only. 	
(i) Higher Distinction	18
(ii) Distinction (or the equivalent)	17
Undergraduate Bachelor Honours (end-on or embedded) An additional year of study following the completion of a Bachelor degree that includes a research component. The purpose of the degree may be to provide professional qualifications or accreditation. Class 1 Class 2.1 Western Sydney University graduates OR Points are awarded to graduates that have completed a Bachelor Honours (Class 1),	20 19
Research Masters, Master of Philosophy or Master of Research, at Western Sydney Jniversity. OR	2
Western Sydney University current HDR candidates Points are awarded to HDR candidates currently enrolled in a Master of Philosophy or doctoral degree at Western Sydney University, with greater than six (6) months remaining in their candidature.	
f entry is based on Academic/Professional Equivalence, please provide details Some applicants may have existing research experience that should be considered. This may have occurred in industry or some other working experience. Evidence needs to be provided to allow assessment of these skills.	16

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. International qualifications with an 'Honours' or 'Class' result will not be automatically considered equivalent to an Australian Bachelor Honours degree. International tertiary education systems may use the terms 'Honours' or 'Class' to refer to results and the term does not always mean the degree includes a research component.
- 2. Qualifications can be scored as equivalent to a Master of Philosophy where the degree contains a substantial thesis component (minimum 25%) (e.g. equal to or more substantial than a Western Sydney University Master of Research), and where a copy of the thesis is provided in the application.
- 3. The relevant Western Sydney University School or Institute should make a judgment on international qualifications based on the grades attained, the content and structure of the research component, National Office for Overseas Skills Recognition (NOOSR) advice, discipline knowledge and standing of the institution, and the standing of the relevant program in the academic community.
- 4. If the Western Sydney University School or Institute makes a claim that an international qualification is equivalent to an Australian Bachelor Honours degree or Research Masters, written justification must be provided explaining how the degree is equivalent, referring to content, structure and learning outcomes. The justification must be submitted to the Graduate Research School by the Associate Dean Higher Degree Research.
- 5. For applicants that already hold a Doctor of Philosophy or equivalent doctorate degree, the research proposal should be in a substantially different research area (i.e. not a continuation of previously completed project).

SECTION 2: RESEARCH OUTPUT AND EXPERIENCE	
MAXIMUM POINTS: 8 in total	
Points may be allocated from one or more of the following categories.	Points
Research output must be refereed, and the quality of the publisher must be confirmed (i.e.	
commercial or academic vs. predatory or vanity press) by a reputable source such as Scopus, Ulrichsweb or Western Sydney University Library. Publications in predatory journals will be	
excluded for assessment.	
Applicants may provide evidence for up to a maximum of four (4) items of research output.	
If an applicant provides additional items, only the first four items will be scored.	
Applicants may provide evidence for up to a maximum of two (2) examples of research	
experience. If an applicant provides additional items, only the first two items will be scored.	
Published research books or monographs (Max 8 points in total)	(Max 8 points in
Authored research book or monograph (not a thesis) that is a substantial work offered for	total)
sale or distributed by a recognised commercial press or publisher. Provide DOIs	5 per book
Published book chapters (Max 8 points in total)	(Max 8 points in
Authored book chapter that is a substantial work offered for sale or distributed by a	total)
recognised commercial press or publisher. Provide DOIs	
Sole author, first author or senior author	3 for a chapter
Contributing author	2 for a chapter
Refereed journal articles (Max 8 points in total)	(Max 8 points in
Original research journal articles that can be verified as published, or where evidence of	total)
acceptance has been provided by the applicant. Provide DOIs	2 nor orticlo
Sole author, first author or senior author Contributing author	3 per article 2 per article
	•
Refereed conference proceedings (Max 8 points in total)	(Max 8 points in
Must be considered by ERA as assessable research output in the discipline. The presentation must be based on the submitted peer review of a paper and not the	total)
	1 ner
submission of an abstract. The presentation cannot relate to a referred journal article that has been allocated points.* Provide DOIs	1 per conference

Commercial patents (Max 8 points in total) Patents for specific products or processes that have been granted for the first time to the applicant. Provide DOIs	(Max 8 points in total) 1 per patent
 Exhibited creative works (Max 8 points in total) A significant creative work or exhibition in a non-university gallery or museum, commercial or public. The work must be curated and accompanied by a published catalogue identifying the authorship and work. Creative work must represent independent new work that has not been the basis of an assessment item for a previous degree. Please provide evidence 	(Max 8 points in total)
Solo exhibition Group exhibition	5 per work 2 per work

Published written or recorded creative works (Max 8 points in total) Significant fiction and non-fiction novels, poetry collections, plays, film scripts, scores of	(Max 8 points in of total)
music, live music recordings, theatre performances, dance performances, films, multim	nedia
productions, sound productions, and other recorded, published or packaged creative w for sale and commercial distribution.	/orks
Creative work must represent independent new work that has not been the basis of an assessment item for a previous degree. Provide DOIs	5 per work
Research experience (to be assessed by School or Institute) Max. 7.5 points Total Relevant professional experience within the last 2 years that has directly contributed t providing research training (e.g. employment as a research assistant, completing an internship or working as a researcher). Evidence must be provided from the employer outlining the name of the organisation, position title, period of service, and detailing methodological, analytical, or project management skills relevant to the proposed rese project.	
Methodological skills required for proposed project (problem definition, design reseatools, recognition of scope/limitations of methods): (Max. 2.5 points)	arch
Applicant has mastered considerable experience the skills required.	
Applicant has mastered some of the skills required and has knowledge of the rema	inder. 2.5 points
 Applicant has practical experience of using some of the skills required. 	1.5 points 0.5 points
 Applicant will need to learn all the skills required 	0 points
Data collection and analytical skills required for the proposed project (collect/find relevant data, effectively sort and evaluate data, problem solve) (Max. 2.5 points)	
 Applicant has mastered considerable experience the skills required. 	2 E pointe
 Applicant has mastered some of the skills required and has knowledge of the rema 	inder. 2.5 points 1.5 points
• Applicant has practical experience of using some of the skills required.	0.5 points
 Applicant will need to learn all the skills required. 	0 points
Project management skills (design a project, prioritize tasks, set and manage work to realistic timeline, overcome setbacks, manage stakeholders) (Max. 2.5 points) (Max. 2.5 points)) a
• Applicant has mastered (considerable experience, over time) the skills required.	
 Applicant has mastered some of the skills required and has knowledge of the rema 	inder. 2.5 points
 Applicant has practical experience of using some of the skills required. 	1.5 points
Applicant will need to learn all the skills required.	0.5 points
	0 points

SECTION 3: SUPERVISORY ELIGIBILITY – Automatic for non ECRs **MAXIMUM POINTS: 4** Evidence of supervisor experience, ability, and agreement to support the candidate (Max. 4 points in Supervisors are required to prepare a statement (~ 1 page) supported by evidence to be total) tabled at School/Institute Research and Higher Degree Committee and submitted to the Scholarship Assessment Committee. Evidence that the supervisor has the capacity to undertake supervision of a HDR candidate will be automatically obtained from the researcher's portal: of current membership on the HDR Supervisor Register. 4 points of timely HDR completions (including details of the completions). number of past HDR candidature publications in the last 5 years. that the supervisor has attracted internal and/or external research funding in Or the past 3 years. If the supervisor is an Early Career Researcher (ECR) and lacks a HDR candidature 4 points completion track record will be automatically identified from the researcher's portal, evidence that they will be teamed with a panel of more experienced supervisors will be required from the researcher and school. (4 points) NOTE: The scholarship applicant is not required to complete this section. It MUST be completed by the School/Institute as part of the assessment process. SECTION 4: PROJECT PROPOSAL **MAXIMUM POINTS: 6 Strategic Research** (Max. 6 points in total) **Evidence** that the proposed project is core to WSU strategic research activities: Evidence that the project supports current grants/reserach? of how the research will contribute to building and/or strengthening a 4 points new or existing relationship (e.g. with industry, government, etc.)? (3) points) UN Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) or ABS Field of Research (FOR) is aligned to 2 points the proposed project? of the supervisor actively researching in the proposed research area. ٠ **NOTE:** The scholarship applicant is not required to complete this section. It MUST be completed by the School/Institute as part of the ranking process.

SECTION 5: EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS MAXIMUM POINTS: 5 The applicant may include a statement (maximum 250-words) that addresses a case to support the applicant belongs to an under-represented group or has experienced proportionally greater career interruptions. For example: Primary carers with family responsibilities. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders; and/or Disability.

EVIDENCE AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION – FOR APPLICANTS

Applicants are required to provide the following evidence and/or documentation to support claims made in their application.

To ensure equity for all applicants, Western Sydney University will not allocate a score for an item or claim in an application if appropriate supporting documentation is not provided, and documentation will not be accepted after the relevant closing date.

Failure to provide evidence and supporting documentation may reduce the overall score of an applicant and may have a detrimental impact on their scholarship outcome.

Documents must be certified according to Western Sydney University requirements: <u>https://www.westernsydney.edu.au/future/study/how-to-apply/certifying-documents.html</u>

ACADEMIC QUALIFICATIONS AND PERFORMANCE

Academic	Provide certified copies of full academic testamurs for qualifications completed
qualifications	outside of Western Sydney University.
Academic	Provide certified copies of full transcripts for qualifications completed outside of
performance	Western Sydney University.

RESEARCH OUTPUT AND EXPERIENCE

Applicants must list their research output and experience, as well as provide evidence and supporting documentation following the instructions in the Research Scholarship Application Form. Evidence and supporting documentation must meet the following requirements.

Published research books, monographs and book chapters	A full academic reference must be provided: title, author(s), year published, publisher, ISBN or ISSN. An online reference of the document (e.g. Scopus reference, Ulrichsweb reference, Western Sydney University Library reference, DOI, etc.) must be provided.
Refereed conference proceedings	A full academic reference must be provided: title, author(s), year published, publisher, ISBN or ISSN. Evidence of the conference: title, date, venue, location, other supporting information. Online evidence that the conference was refereed (such as refereeing procedures, composition of panel, etc.)
Commercial patents	A copy of the patent abstract, evidence that the patent has been granted, and an identifying code or patent number must be provided. An online reference to the patent (e.g. from the patent authority) must be provided.
Refereed journal articles and papers	A full academic reference must be provided: title, author(s), year published, publisher, ISBN or ISSN. Online evidence that the journal article or paper is refereed (e.g. Scopus reference, Ulrichsweb reference, Western Sydney University Library reference, DOI, etc.) must be provided.
Creative works	A full academic reference must be provided: title, author(s), year published, publisher, ISBN or ISSN. Evidence of the exhibition or distribution method: event title, date, venue, location, curator, other supporting information.
Research experience	A statement of service on the employer's letterhead stating the name of the employer, the period of employment, the title of the position, full-time or part-time workload, and duties and responsibilities. A statement about the level of research experience or training provided by the role is advantageous.

EVIDENCE AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION – FOR SCHOOLS AND INSTITUTES

The Graduate Research School will assess academic qualifications and each item of research output and experience provided by the applicant (**up to the maximum number of points allowed**). Scores will be allocated based on the criteria in the guidelines above.

Schools and Institutes will receive the initial assessment and scores from the Graduate Research School and make recommendations to the Western Sydney University HDR Scholarship Assessment Panel. This may include justifications to adjust the scores allocated by the Graduate Research School.

EVIDENCE OF REFEREED OR PEER REVIEWED OUTPUT

The Graduate Research School will assess all research output using the following source:

• Western Sydney University Library: <u>https://library.westernsydney.edu.au/</u>

Applicants should check their own output (i.e. journal articles, book chapters, etc.) using reputable sources (e.g. Ulrichsweb, Scopus, etc.) prior to including them in their application, and they should as a minimum include online references to the output in the application form. If reasonable, applicants should include a PDF copy of the output or an acceptance letter from the publisher in their application.

If Western Sydney University cannot verify a claim made in an application using the above source, it may be excluded from the ranking process.