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AUSTRALIAN MULTICULTURALISM

Immigration and Multiculturalism

The post-WWII migration to Australia delivered cultural diversity which became one of this country’s most defining contemporary characteristics. Immigration also required a government response in terms of societal organisation to integrate the migrants. Australian multiculturalism delivered such a response. It aims at integration with a human face and through it, social cohesion. Multiculturalism, however, is not a policy that dictates the shape of on-going immigration intake, although some Australians argue for increased migration in order to increase diversity. (Australian Institute for Progress, 2015, p.8)

Initially, assimilation of non-British migrants and the continuation of a mono-cultural ‘Australian way of life’ was the ideal to be followed. The expectation of the post-WWII immigration policy was that these non-British European migrants would, in short time, melt seamlessly into Australian society and adopt the Australian lifestyle as fast as possible; become local patriots and abandon their past national allegiances and cultural ‘baggage’. ‘New Australians’, as they were then called, had to speak English, not live in cultural ghettos and wherever possible marry into the Australian-born community.1

However, upon their arrival, non-British migrants did not dissolve easily into the Anglo-Celtic melting pot, but established their own lively communities with churches, sporting, youth and cultural clubs, associations, language schools, welfare and financial institutions. They established these to maintain their culture and to help themselves in the process of settlement as there was no welfare state to look after their needs. New Australians also developed effective community leaderships and ethnic media.

The process of moving away from the policy of assimilation towards multiculturalism gained momentum in the late sixties. With the increasing number of non-British settlers arriving, their concentration in certain localities and their growing wealth and political influence, the so-called ethnic vote started to make a difference. This clearly points to a political dimension of the origin of current multicultural policies. In addition, the policy of assimilation started losing the high moral ground and public support, including amongst the Anglo-Celtic majority. The ideals of racial equality were gaining acceptance as social integration of migrants progressed. A culinary revolution and a high rate of intermarriage also played a role in this process.
By the early seventies it had become obvious that cultures brought to Australia by migrants were not going to fade away and that the nation would be better served by accepting diversity rather than trying to eradicate it.

Since then the successive national governments have created architecture, policies and programs to acknowledge and support cultural diversity, although Australia did not legislate along the lines of the Canadian Multiculturalism Act 1985.

For example, all post-1975 governments issued major policy statements defining and endorsing multiculturalism. The themes of multiculturalism were embedded in the Australian Citizenship Act 2007 under which “Australian citizenship is a common bond, involving reciprocal rights and obligations, uniting all Australians, while respecting their diversity.” and in the anti-discrimination legislation – especially in the Racial Discrimination Act 1975. The Australian Human Rights Commission has statutory responsibilities to investigate and conciliate complaints of alleged racial and other discrimination and human rights breaches lodged with it. Australia is also a party to the seven key human rights treaties and submits periodic reports on measures taken to implement these.  

In addition, some states, for example New South Wales, Victoria and South Australia have specific multicultural legislation in place. Western Australia enacted a Multicultural and Ethnic Affairs Commission Act in 1983; however this was repealed in 2006. A Multicultural Recognition Bill was recently adopted by the Queensland Parliament.

**Definition**

There is no generally agreed definition of ‘multiculturalism’. Taken literally, multi-culture means simply many cultures. Looking at how the word ‘multiculturalism’ is used one must conclude that multiculturalism means different things to different people.

Below I distinguish four different meanings that are most commonly given to the word ‘multiculturalism’.

First, multiculturalism could be defined as ideology or a normative ideal of how a diverse society should be organised to maximise the benefits of cultural and religious diversity.

Australia has adopted an inclusive model of multiculturalism where migrants can belong to Australia while keeping their original culture and traditions. Migrants and their cultural heritage are welcomed and celebrated and their economic and civic contributions are cherished. Australia’s ‘fair go’ culture is the backbone of such an ideal. Some 40 percent of Australians define multiculturalism as two-way integration ‘…with Australians doing more to learn about the customs and heritage of immigrants and immigrants changing their behaviour to be more like Australians.’ (Scanlon Foundation, 2016, p.6)

There is however less clarity as to the place of an existing Australian culture.
The majority view is that Australian multiculturalism has, at its core, some common elements of the established culture such as; the rule of law, parliamentary democracy, civil liberties and freedoms, equality of sexes and English as a national language. The non-dominant cultures are seen as contributors and not as pollutants.

A minority view would argue that the ideal of multiculturalism implies that all cultures are equal as the prefix ‘multi’ implies many equal parts. It would follow that all cultural beliefs and activities have equal standing and must be at least tolerated and preferably respected. For example, if a culture requires women’s status in the society to be different to that of men, this should be respected by the authorities and the broader society and on occasions it should be able to override the egalitarian provisions of the Sex Discrimination Act 1984.

This notion represents a relativist view of culture where a range of different standards could co-exist on equal footing, for example, Sharia law could coexist with Australian laws. It also, to a degree, challenges the normative system of the dominant culture by bringing to the fore issues of integration of cultural minorities into the so-called mainstream and by rejection of democracy and in particular separation of state and religion. Opponents of multiculturalism focus their criticism on this definition of multiculturalism seeing it as synonymous to tribalism and likely to undermine social cohesion.

The above quoted Scanlon Foundation research has further suggested that approximately 25% of Australians support a cultural relativist definition. From my point of view, this finding is of concern although I acknowledge that the relativist interpretation of multiculturalism has never won any official government endorsement in Australia. Should these important research findings be further confirmed, there would be a need for appropriate policy and educational responses.

There is also a problem with ending the word with –ism. Words like fascism, communism, atheism, etc. tend to indicate an ideological focus.

Second, the word multiculturalism is simply used as a demographic descriptor of a diverse population. This is the most common use of the word. For example, Germany, France, Peru, India or Malaysia are often described as multicultural societies, meaning that they include multiple national identities, cultures and religions living next to each other.

Sometimes the usage of the word as a demographic descriptor is limited to only indicate the presence of minorities and does not refer to the whole of society concept. For example, many European leaders, when criticising the term multiculturalism, often refer only to the settlement problems associated with the current wave of refugees or the issue of integration of Muslims into Western societies.

Third, multiculturalism could be understood as a set of government policies and programs developed in response to and to manage cultural diversity. For example, many multicultural - in the demographic sense - countries may have some legal, policy and program responses to such diversity. These may include a range of measures aiming at social integration such as anti-discrimination laws, welfare, language training for new migrants and/or measures to combat the radicalisation of Muslim youth. However, the word multiculturalism is unlikely
to be used in the context of punitive measures directed, for example, against Jews in the Third Reich or recently against non-Han minorities in China, and in particular against Tibetans and the Uyghurs people.

Fourth, multiculturalism is understood as a social compact or agreement about how to arrange social, political and economic relationships between different cultural strata. In modern societies like Australia, Canada, New Zealand and USA such compacts are founded on the principle of equality of status and opportunity and involve the sharing of power and wealth between different ethno-cultural groups. Social compacts are organised around a complex set of agreed national values and goals, normative and structural systems as well as policy, budgetary and program responses put in place to manage diversity.  

**Demographic diversity**

Today, Australia is clearly a multicultural society in the descriptive use of this word. According to the Australian Bureau of Statistics 2011 Australian Census, over a quarter (26% or 5.3 million) of Australia's population was born overseas and a further one fifth (20% or 4.1 million) had at least one overseas-born parent. According to Anthea Hancocks this means that Australia has now ‘... the largest overseas-born population of all large OECD nations ...’ (Scanlon Foundation, 2016, p.2)

Although historically, the majority of migration came from Europe, there are increasingly more Australians who were born in Asia and other parts of the world. Renewed prosperity in Europe has meant that, where once Italians and Greeks made up the majority of non-British new arrivals, in 2010–11 China surpassed the UK as Australia’s primary source of permanent migrants. Since then, China and India have continued to provide the highest number of permanent migrants. Between June 1996 and June 2013, Australia’s overseas-born population grew by 51.2 percent to 6.4 million people and included 427,590 born in China and 369,680 in India. The change in the ethnic composition of migrant intake is likely to continue in the foreseeable future under the Australian non-discriminatory immigration policies.

Conflicts overseas have also meant that Australia has been taking refugees from a range of diverse countries, for example from Sudan, Myanmar, Afghanistan, Iraq and Sri Lanka. This adds to Australia’s diversity.

When we look at cultural heritage, over 300 ancestries were separately identified in the 2011 Census. The most commonly reported were English (36%) and Australian (35%). A further six of the leading ten ancestries reflected the European heritage in Australia with the two remaining ancestries being Chinese (4%) and Indian (2%). (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2012b; see also Jupp, 1988)
Today Australians speak more than 215 languages – this includes some 40 Aboriginal languages. Apart from English, the most commonly used are Chinese (largely Mandarin and Cantonese), Italian, Greek, Arabic, Indian (Hindi and Punjabi) and Vietnamese languages.

The 2011 Census indicated that usage of non-English languages is not equally distributed across Australia. For example, nearly 23 percent of the New South Wales population speak a non-English language at home. Arabic, which dominates the western suburbs, is the most widely spoken non-English language, with Mandarin and Cantonese the next most common second languages. In the Western Sydney suburb Cabramatta West, 40% of residents speak Vietnamese, in Old Guildford 47% speak Arabic, and in Hurstville 50% speak either Cantonese or Mandarin. In contrast, English language usage dominates regional Queensland and Western Australia.
There is also enormous religious diversity with some 61% reporting an affiliation to Christianity in the 2011 Census, 7.2% reporting an affiliation to non-Christian religions, and 22% reporting ‘No Religion’. The most common non-Christian religions in 2011 were Buddhism (accounting for 2.5% of the population), Islam (2.2%) and Hinduism (1.3%), although these proportions may have changed by 2016. Of these, Hinduism had experienced the fastest growth since 2001, increasing by 189% to 275,500, followed by Islam (increased by 69% to 476,300) and Buddhism (increased by 48% to 529,000 people).\(^8\)

Despite that, Australia is a secular state and that some 22 percent of Australians reported no religious affiliation religious identity plays a key part in the life of some communities.\(^9\)

Australia also is a place of strong inter-faith movement that clearly contributes to social cohesion and builds understanding between people of different faiths. The Australian National Dialogue of Christians, Muslims and Jews was officially ‘launched’ in March 2003 by the National Council of Churches in Australia, the Australian Federation of Islamic Councils and the Executive Council of Australian Jewry. By now interfaith initiatives such as Ramadan Iftar Dinners become regular annual events, celebrated by interfaith communities. For example, in June 2016 Iftar dinners were hosted by the Prime Minister of Australia and the NSW Parliament – to name only a few.

**Evolution of policies and programs**

Looking back, the emergence of grounding ideas associated with what we today call multiculturalism could be traced back to the Menzies Coalition Government who embraced an ambitious program of non-British immigration after WWII and allowed non-European migrants to apply for citizenship after fifteen years. In 1960 the term ‘White Australia’ was removed from the Liberal Party’s Federal Policy Platform, five years before a similar change was made in the Australian Labor Party platform. In 1966, the Holt Coalition Government allowed migration of non-Europeans who had met certain professional and settlement criteria and allowed non–European temporary residents to apply for citizenship.

The modern concept of multiculturalism has been built cumulatively by the post-1972 governments often in the context of political contest to secure electoral advantage. In the words of Elsa Koleth (2010) ‘Multiculturalism has served a variety of goals over the years, including, the pursuit of social justice, the recognition of identities and appreciation of
diversity, the integration of migrants, nation building, and attempts to achieve and maintain social cohesion.’ Although there were some important differences between multicultural policies of different governments over the years, by now all major political parties have accepted the core elements of multicultural policy.

Let us examine briefly how Australian multiculturalism has developed since the mid-seventies.10


  On 5 December 1972 Australia elected Whitlam’s Labor government, the first Labor government in more than two decades, which set out to change Australia through a wide-ranging reform program. Whitlam’s Minister for Immigration, Al Grassby discovered the term ‘multi-cultural’ on a trip to Canada11 in 1973 and brought it back to Australia.

  Although Grassby never proposed a precise definition of multiculturalism, his speeches suggest that for him multiculturalism was a rather vague combination of different ideas, concepts and policies associated with equality, cultural identity and social cohesion in application to non-British migrant settlement. His concept of *the family of the nation* (Grassby, 1973) came close to being the first official definition of multiculturalism: *‘In a family the overall attachment to the common good need not impose sameness on the outlook or activity of each member, nor need these members deny their individuality and distinctiveness in order to seek a superficial and unnatural conformity. The important thing is that all are committed to the good of all.’*12

  The Whitlam government’s key achievement was to outlaw racial discrimination and to remove the discriminatory provisions from the immigration legislation. *The Racial Discrimination Act* was enacted in 1975 to implement Australia’s obligations under the newly ratified UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination and an office of Commissioner for Community Relations was established.

- **Ethno-specific services – Fraser Coalition Government (1975-1983)**

  When Malcolm Fraser’s conservative coalition government came to power in late 1975 it adopted the Labor foundations and significantly extended Australian multiculturalism both as a concept and as a practical government response to cultural diversity. Professors George Zubrzycki and Jerzy Smolicz, both sociologists of Polish heritage, have made a significant contribution in this area.

  A major initiative under the Fraser Government was the 1977-78 Review of Migrant Programs and Services. The resulting 1978 Report provided ‘Guiding principles’ of multiculturalism to guide development of Australia as ‘a cohesive, united and multicultural nation’. (Galbally, 1978)

  With this, for the first time, multiculturalism emerged as a well-articulated concept and government endorsed policy. It was an ideal of a society based on the principles of social cohesion, equality of opportunity and cultural identity. The Report declared that all
Australians have the right to maintain their culture without fear of prejudice, as the Fraser government firmly believed that Australia’s culture is enriched by the maintenance of diversity and Fraser linked his political success with the advancement of multicultural policies.

The Review also identified a range of ethno specific services and programs needed to ensure that non-British migrants had equal opportunity of access to government funded programs and services. It recommended the creation of the Special Broadcasting Service (SBS), the Australian Institute of Multicultural Affairs (AIMA), the Multicultural Education Program, the English as a Second Language (ESL) program, Migrant Resource Centres and the extension of existing services such as the Grant-in-Aid scheme, the Adult Migrant Education Program and the Bilingual Information Officer program.

The above recommendations were implemented by the Fraser government and paid for by the revenue resulting from the removal of tax deductibility for money sent by migrants to support families overseas. In addition, in 1981, the Fraser government created the first federal Human Rights Commission to domestically implement the provisions of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.13

Perhaps SBS, a government sponsored radio and television service with the principal function spelled out in its charter ‘to provide multilingual and multicultural radio and television services that inform, educate and entertain all Australians and, in doing so, reflect Australia’s multicultural society’ (Special Broadcasting Service, 2016) has been a standout and long-term success of the Fraser reforms.14

Fraser also created a number of advisory and consultative bodies including the Australian Ethnic Affairs Council, the Australian Population and Immigration Council and the Australian Refugee Advisory Council. These councils were merged in May 1981 to form the Australian Council on Population and Ethnic Affairs Council (under the chairmanship of Professor George Zubrzycki). Ethnic communities and their leaders gained access to government and were regularly consulted on issues of relevance to them.

- The mainstreaming of services under Hawke/Keating (1983-1996)

Labor was returned to government under the leadership of Bob Hawke in 1983 and initially started to dismantle some of the multicultural institutions and programs created by the Fraser government. First, a review of AIMA was commissioned 1983 that resulted in a closure of the Institute in 1986. (Committee of Review of the AIMA, 1983) Then a merger between SBS and ABC broadcasters was proposed, that would effectively disband SBS. Public protests followed and forced the government to change its approach.

In December 1985 a Committee of Review of Migrant and Multicultural Programs and Services (ROMAMPAS) was created under the chairmanship of Dr James Jupp to advise on the Federal Government’s role in assisting migrants to achieve their equitable participation in Australian society. The Committee reported in August 1986 (Department of Immigration and Ethnic Affairs, 1986). It recommended moving away from an ethno specific service
Another key outcome of the Report was the establishment of the Office of Multicultural Affairs (OMA) in the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet and of an advisory body the Australian Council of Multicultural Affairs. In addition, in 1989 the government established the Bureau of Immigration, Multicultural and Population Research (to fill the vacuum created by closure of AIMA).

The establishment of OMA as a central coordinating agency for multicultural policy and programs under the dynamic leadership of Professor Peter Shergold created a golden era in Australian multiculturalism and ensured that the years of Hawke/Keating governments were characterised by the expansion of multicultural narrative and linking it to the mainstream. Throughout the Australian Bicentenary in 1988 and afterwards, constant efforts were made to link multiculturalism to Australian values. Strong efforts were made to ‘place multiculturalism within a national narrative where cultural diversity and tolerance were part of Australian national identity’. (Koleth, 2010)

Perhaps the biggest achievement of the Hawke government was the adoption-in 1989 - of the ‘National Agenda for a Multicultural Australia. Sharing Our Future’ developed by the Australian Council on Multicultural Affairs under the leadership of Sir James Gobbo. (Office of Multicultural Affairs, 1989) The Agenda further advanced the concept of multiculturalism by defining its limits. It said that effective multiculturalism requires an overriding and unifying commitment to Australia, an acceptance of the rule of law, freedom of speech and religion, English as the national language and the equality of the sexes. It also stated that the right to express your own culture carried the responsibly to afford others the same right to express theirs. In addition to the social justice and cultural identity aspects, a third tier of economic efficiency was also added. (Cope & Kalantzis, 1997)

Hawke’s era was also characterised by the enhancement of consultations with ethnic communities and by the establishment of strong links between ethnic leadership and the Commonwealth and State Labor governments. Teaching of non-English languages was enhanced (Lo Bianco, 1987) and interpreting and translating services re-engineered.

When Paul Keating replaced Bob Hawke as Prime Minister at the end of 1991 he continued in this vein. Keating described multiculturalism as ‘a policy which guarantees rights and imposes responsibilities.’ /.../ ‘The essential balance, I think, in the multicultural equation: the promotion of individual and collective cultural rights and expression on the one hand, and on the other the promotion of common national interests and values. And success depends on demonstrating that each side of the equation serves the other.’ (Keating, 2002) Keating continued to stress that multiculturalism imposes responsibilities: ‘These are that the first loyalty of all Australians must be to Australia, that they must accept the basic principles of Australian society. These include the Constitution and the rule of law, parliamentary democracy, freedom of speech and religion, English as a national language, equality of the sexes and tolerance.’ Keating also ordered a major evaluation of responsiveness of Australian government services to Australian culturally and linguistically
diverse population. (Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet, 1992; see also Ozdowski, 1992)

The high profile of multiculturalism under Hawke/Keating governments brought about populist backlash and questioning if the multicultural society was indeed desirable for Australia. At the same time the most recently arrived humanitarian settlers from Vietnam and Lebanon were experiencing significant settlement problems. In this context, the government created an ad-hoc 1988 Committee to Advise on Australia’s Immigration Policies, chaired by Dr Stephen FitzGerald, which in its report warned of a ‘clear and present need for immigration reform’ and found that as the philosophy of multiculturalism was not widely understood, the ‘ensuing uninformed debate’ was ‘damaging the cause it seeks to serve’. (FitzGerald, 1988)


In 1996 the Coalition leader John Howard was swept into power with a significant majority. Also in the 1996 election Pauline Hanson, expelled by from the Liberal Party because of her views, was elected on an anti-multiculturalism and anti-Asian platform. In her maiden speech to parliament Hanson said ‘I and most Australians want our immigration policy radically reviewed and that of multiculturalism abolished. I believe we are in danger of being swamped by Asians.’ (Hanson, 1996)

John Howard had been known as a critic of aspects of multiculturalism while in opposition. Howard’s concern about “multiculturalism” was that it was becoming nothing more than a slogan lacking any descriptive meaning and therefore unfair both to the notion of a distinctive Australian culture and to the various minority cultures that contributed to it. He had advocated instead the idea of a ‘shared national identity’, grounded in concepts of ‘mateship’ and a ‘fair go’. So there was no surprise when soon after the election, Howard rearranged multicultural affairs, re-focussing it to deal more with practical solutions than symbolism. For example, he dropped the multicultural portfolio by closing down the Office of Multicultural Affairs and transferring the responsibility for multicultural issues, with an increased budget and staffing, to the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs. Immigration Minister Ruddock had proven to be a powerful advocate for multiculturalism with the ability to move things along. Although Howard closed the Bureau of Immigration, Multicultural and Population Research and reduced funding to ethnic organisations, funding was increased to the Adult Migrant English Program (AMEP) programs responsible for provision of English language and settlement skills tuition to eligible migrants and humanitarian entrants; at that time particular focus was given to support the refugee cohorts coming out of the Horn of Africa.

Howard was initially reluctant to criticize Hanson, claiming free speech as her right. However after she formed the One Nation Party, which split the conservative and blue-collar vote, and her tirades began to affect international relations Howard acted. In December of 1996, just 2 months after Hanson’s maiden speech, Howard said: ‘that there is no place in the Australia that we love for any semblance of racial or ethnic intolerance. There is no place within our community for those who would traffic, for whatever purpose and whatever goal, in the business of trying to cause division based on a person’s religion, a
person's place of birth, the colour of the person's skin, the person's values, ethnic make-up or beliefs.' (Howard, 1996)

Then, the National Multicultural Advisory Council was appointed and delivered significant report: ‘Australian multiculturalism for a new century: towards inclusiveness’ in April 1999. Soon after, in December 1999 the government launched a new policy statement called A New Agenda for Multicultural Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 1999) with added focus on citizenship. In addition, a new Council for Multicultural Australia (CMA) was created to promote community harmony through the Living in Harmony grants and promotion of Harmony Day. Multiculturalism, although in a slightly redefined form and focus, had continued under Howard to be an important part of public life.

The government also took measures to advance the value of Australian citizenship. Now those applying for citizenship need to undertake an Australian history and culture test in English and pledge: 'loyalty to Australia and its people ... whose democratic beliefs I share ... whose rights and liberties I respect ... and whose laws I will uphold and obey.' (Border.gov.au, 2016a) He also introduced expanded dual-citizenship rights.

Surprisingly, the terrorist attack in New York on 11 September 2001 gave Australian multiculturalism an additional lease of life. In 2003 the government issued a new policy statement ‘Multicultural Australia: United in Diversity: Updating the 1999 New Agenda for Multicultural Australia: Strategic Directions for 2003-2006’ (Commonwealth of Australia, 2003). This further shifted the focus of multiculturalism to unity and social cohesion. It also meant the return to old practices of community consultation and of opening government access to community leaders. In 2005 after the Prime Minister’s Summit with Muslim Community Leaders, a Muslim Community Reference Group was created to advance Muslim integration with the rest of the community.

- Equality and justice under Rudd/Gillard governments (2007-13)

The Labor government was returned in 2007 with Kevin Rudd as Prime Minister. Upon election, Labor’s initial approach to multiculturalism disappointed many. The electoral platform promise to re-establish OMA in PM&C was not implemented after the election. Then, in the 2010 election, for the first time since the Whitlam government in 1972, Labor did not put forward a multicultural policy proposal. It needs to be acknowledged however, that significant groundwork was undertaken under the Rudd Prime Ministership. For example, in April 2010 The Australian Multicultural Advisory Council (17 December 2008 – to 30 June 2010) delivered their advice to government titled ‘The People of Australia’. (Australian Multicultural Advisory Committee, 2011)

Multiculturalism gained a higher profile after the change in Prime Ministership to Julia Gillard. Post the 2010 election, her Minister for Immigration and Citizenship, Chris Bowen, announced the restoration of the multicultural portfolio. In an address entitled: ‘The Genius of Multiculturalism’ (Bowen, 2011) to the Sydney Institute, Bowen expressed the view that ‘If Australia is to be free and equal, then it will be multicultural. But, if it is to be multicultural, Australia must remain free and equal.’ The renamed Australian Multicultural Council (AMC) was officially launched by the Prime Minister on 22 August 2011 at
parliament House in Canberra and an updated version of Australia’s multicultural policy ‘The People of Australia’ was published with a focus on equality and anti-discrimination.

Prime Minister Gillard also reaffirmed the well-established concepts that multiculturalism is: ‘... the meeting place of rights and responsibilities where the right to maintain one’s customs, language and religion is balanced by an equal responsibility to learn English, find work, respect our culture and heritage, and accept women as full equals.’ /.../ ‘Where there is non-negotiable respect for our foundational values of democracy and the rule of law, and any differences we hold are expressed peacefully.’ /.../ ‘Where old hatreds are left behind, and we find shared identity on the common ground of mateship and the Aussie spirit of a fair go’. (Australianpolitics.com, 2012)

- **Social cohesion under Abbott/Turnbull governments (2013-2016)**

The returned Coalition Prime Minister Abbott reappointed the Australian Multicultural Council and strengthened focus on social cohesion and productive diversity. In addition, a range of new measures were put in place to communicate better with Muslim community leadership and especially to stop the radicalization of Muslim youth.

Tony Abbott was replaced by Malcolm Turnbull as Prime Minister in September 2015. In February 2016 a leaked government document indicated that the Turnbull government may consider toughening Australia’s humanitarian resettlement program, including increasing the screening and monitoring of refugees and making it harder to obtain permanent residency and citizenship. The document claimed that the changes may be introduced because ‘it has been established that there are links between recent onshore terrorist attacks and the humanitarian intake’. It also singled out the Lebanese community as the ‘most prominent ethnic group amongst Australian Sunni extremists’. The leak drew a swift reaction from ethnic communities and the Labor opposition, alleging elements of the paper were ‘verging on bigotry and racism’. (Hurst, 2016). This was followed by a conciliatory meeting with Muslim leaders in Melbourne in March 2016.

The Parramatta murder of police accountant Curtis Cheng by 15-year old gunman Farhad Jabar was described by the Prime Minister Turnbull as an ‘act of terror’ motivated by extremists’ political and religious views. Further, at a media conference in Sydney the Prime Minister delivered a public appeal for unity and respect. He said: ‘Respect for each other, respect for our country, respect for shared values, these are the things that make this country one of the most successful countries in the world, as a multicultural country in particular. /.../
So, if you want to be respected, if we want our faith, our cultural background to be respected, then we have to respect others. That is a part of the Australian project.’ The Assistant Minister for Multicultural Affairs, Concetta Fierravanti-Wells added that ‘our Muslim communities now need to own the problem and own the solutions.’

Minister for Immigration and Border Protection Dutton reassured Australians that ‘As far as future policies are concerned I can assure you that in terms of people’s rights there is only one class of citizens in Australia. All citizens have the same rights but they also have the same obligations and one of those obligations is obviously to obey the law. That applies whether you were born here or whether you took out your citizenship last week.’ (Hurst,
Furthermore, the success of border protection policy has been linked by conservative Australian governments to broad public support for multicultural policies. In fact during the 2016 federal election campaign the Prime Minister Turnbull stated that tough border protection is essential to guarantee and sustain Australia as the most successful multicultural nation on earth – “If you don’t have strong border protection then people lose faith in the immigration system and the whole Australian multicultural project is threatened” Mr Turnbull told The Australian newspaper on 29 June 2016.

However, no new multicultural policy statement has been issued by the Abbott/Turnbull government as the approach appears to be to concentrate on individual policies rather than a “multicultural program”. For example, in February 2016 Social Services Minister Christian Porter re-focused the mandate of the Australian Multicultural Council from general advice on multiculturalism to advice on empowering culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) women, particularly in the areas of economic and social participation, leadership and safety.

Multiculturalism - success or otherwise

The vast majority of Australians regard both Australia’s immigration outcomes and its multicultural policy as a success and take pride in them. Some would go as far as to claim that multiculturalism is “an inherent part of Australian DNA”. (Hurley, 2016) Let us start with results of public opinion research and then examine a number of other social indicators.

- Attitudes towards migration and multiculturalism

The 2015 Scanlon Foundation National Survey Report, Mapping Social Cohesion (Markus, 2015), revealed that public concern over migration to Australia is at its lowest level since 2007 with some 41% agreeing that the number of immigrants accepted to Australia is “about right” and 19% that it is “too low”. It suggests that Australia is a country with one of the highest levels of positive sentiment towards migration in the western world. By contrast, in the United Kingdom, 71 percent disapproved of how their government manages migration. The 2015 Australian Institute for Progress survey also found that its respondents were strongly in favour of continued migration with 69 percent favouring current or higher levels of migration. (Australian Institute for Progress, 2015) Thus, most likely, the current migration program will continue in the foreseeable future at a historically high level as the government handling of immigration has popular support.

Similarly, the majority of Australians support multiculturalism and believe that Australia is the world’s best and most cohesive multicultural society. The Scanlon Surveys have shown a strong support for the policy of multiculturalism dating back to 2013 (3 surveys) and some other surveys have indicated similar support in earlier years. The 2015 Scanlon Survey found that 86 percent of respondents agree that ‘multiculturalism has been good for Australia’ (Markus, 2015); 75 percent that ‘multiculturalism contributes to our economic development’; 71 percent that ‘multiculturalism encourages migrants to integrate’; and 60 percent believe that ‘diversity strengthens the Australian way of life’. (Markus, 2013). The Scanlon Foundation findings are supported by the results of the Western Sydney
University led Challenging Racism Project which reported that “About 87 percent of Australians say that they see cultural diversity as a good thing for society.” (Dunn, 2016)

Acceptance of migration and cultural diversity is particularly strong amongst Australia’s youth with 91 percent agreeing or strongly agreeing that ‘multiculturalism is good for Australia’. Also, 85 percent of young adults agree that ‘we should do more to learn about the customs and heritage of different cultures’; but only 40 percent in the general sample supported this statement.  

Support for multiculturalism also varies between Australian states. Comparing the five mainland state capitals and Canberra gives three groupings: Melbourne and Canberra show the highest support with 48% in Melbourne and Canberra ‘strongly agreeing’ that multiculturalism has been good for Australia; Brisbane and Perth show the lowest support (35-37% strongly agree), while Sydney and Adelaide sit somewhere in the middle (39-42% strongly agree). If we examine attitudes in regional Australia, the aggregated result from 2013, 2014, and 2015 Mapping Social Cohesion survey data finds that 82% of respondents support multiculturalism (compared to 87% in capital cities), but the difference between ‘strong agreement’ and ‘agreement’ is in sharp contrast. For example, ‘strong agreement’ in the capital cities is at 42%, while outside the capitals, it is 29%.

Social research suggests a high level of social cohesion. This is illustrated by some 92 percent of those surveyed indicated having a ‘strong sense of belonging in Australia’ with close to half (44%) reporting this "always", and only small proportions "hardly ever" (5%) or "never" (3%); also, 85 percent reported to have ‘a sense of pride in the Australian way of life and culture’. (Markus, 2015).

A recent Mind & Mood report on New Australians, based on extensive interviews with Chinese, Indian, Vietnamese and Somali migrants indicated that they see Australia as a peaceful and fair nation and were more optimistic about their future in the ‘lucky country’ than the local-born middle class. (Megalogenis, 2012) In fact, the vast majority of migrants are happy with their decision to settle in Australia and content with the nature of Australian society and its culture. For example, the majority reported feeling welcomed in Australia ‘always’ (52%) or ‘most of the time’ (28%). (Markus, 2015.)

There is also a range of other social indicators that multicultural policy is working well in Australia; let us examine them briefly.

- **Economic participation**

Many link Australia’s prosperity to diversity and point to Multiculturalism as policy that clearly helps to integrate migrants into the economy. Although unemployment differs between different ethnic communities and between skilled and humanitarian migrants overall, migrants have greater labour market participation and earn more than Australian born workers. For example, according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics (2015) data the average employee income of a skilled visa holder was approximately $5,000 higher than the national average of taxpayers in the 2009-10 financial year. Also, unemployment rates are lower for young second generation migrants then they are for the children of Australian
born parents. Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD) communities foster the entrepreneurial spirit and contribute to economic growth.

Unfortunately migrant families are slightly over-represented in the lower income decile. This statistic however, is significantly different to the situation of migrants in France and Belgium where 23 percent and 27 percent of migrant households respectively are in the lowest income decile. (Ergas, 2015) Particular difficulties are being experienced by some Muslim Australians. The 2011 census indicated that suburbs with a large concentration of Muslims have had unemployment rates of double the national average. For example, people living in Australia’s only Islam-majority suburb of Lakemba, where 51.8 percent of residents identify as Muslims, recorded unemployment of 11.7 percent when national unemployment was below 6 percent. People living in such suburbs also have significantly smaller individual incomes than the national average.

On the other hand, some former refugees and migrants, such as Frank Lowy and Richard Pratt, have demonstrated enormous economic successes and accumulated extraordinary wealth. Ruth Ostrow’s analysis suggests that about one third of Australia’s richest list is occupied by people who migrated to Australia. (Ostrow, 1987; see also: Colins, 2015). Also, Australians with CALD backgrounds make up to 30 percent of small business owners.

There is also significant literature indicating that diversity boosts creativity, innovation and problem solving in the workplace and adds to competitiveness of industries. For example, a recent McKinsey survey of 366 USA companies suggested that ‘…the companies in the top quartile for racial/ethnic diversity were 35 percent more likely to have financial returns above their national industry median.’ (Hunt et al., 2015, p.3)

- **Education**

To start with, the merit-based immigration system that allocates a significant number of permanent immigration places has served Australia well. ‘The focus on Education and skills targets immigrants with characteristics that enable them to integrate successfully and deliver good labour market and economic outcomes.’ (Productivity Commission, 2015, p.2)

Then, there is a wealth of research consistently showing the education system is utilized as a major upward mobility mechanism by migrant families. Children with overseas born parents perform relatively better in education compared to those with Australian born parents. There is however no such difference in second generation.

There is also enormous economic upward intergenerational mobility amongst the new settlers suggesting, in the words of Abraham Lincoln, that in Australia “Achievement has no colour”. For example, a study of Sydney’s Lebanese Muslim community found that 45 percent of the parents had left school before the equivalent of Year 10; in contrast, virtually all of their children had completed upper secondary school, with the majority continuing to tertiary education. Although 35 percent of the fathers were manual labourers, only 10 percent of the male children are; and while barely 3 percent of the parents were in the professions, some 20 percent of their children have professional jobs. In the Islam-
majority suburb Lakemba, almost 15 percent of residents have gone to university or completed other tertiary education; this figure is in line with the national average.

The contrast to Europe could not be sharper. For example, in Germany and The Netherlands, second-generation Muslims are twice as likely to leave school before completion as their native-born counterparts and young Muslims are only one-third as likely to complete post-secondary education as their native-born counterparts. A German study indicated that educational outcomes of second-generation migrants in Germany increasingly lag behind those of the native population. (Bauer et al., 2010)

- **Intermarriage**

A high level of inter-ethnic marriage is usually considered as one of the most definitive measures of the dissolution of social and cultural barriers. In 2009 42% of marriages recorded in Australia involved at least one partner who was not Australian-born. According to the 2006 Census, a majority of third generation Australians of non-English-speaking background had partnered with persons of a different ethnic origin (the majority partnered with persons of Australian or Anglo-Celtic background). Also a majority of Indigenous Australians partnered with non-indigenous Australians.

- **Civic and political participation**

‘New Australians’ have not only developed their own organisations and leaderships but have also started to participate in mainstream political processes and civic undertakings. There has been a wealth of trailblazers at Federal, State and in particular local levels of government. For example, Nick Greiner, Premier of NSW between 1988 – 1992 was born in Budapest, Hungary; the current premier of Queensland Annastacia Palaszczuk is a daughter of a Polish migrant Henry Palaszczuk, who is a former Member of the Queensland Legislative Assembly and the Federal Finance Minister Mathias Cormann was born in Belgium. However, Australian parliaments are a long way from the point where our elected representatives are reflective of the composition of the population. As of 29 June 2015, of the 226 Australian federal Parliamentarians, 26 were born overseas (13 from the UK). (Parliament of Australia, 2016)

As of 6 August 2013, during the Rudd government, four members of the 42 ministers and parliamentary secretaries spoke a language other than English. (Kenny, 2013)

Over 60 percent of new settlers apply for Australian citizenship. For example on 26 January 2015 almost 16,000 people from 152 different countries become Australian citizens in public ceremonies across the nation.

**Difficulties**

Despite these remarkable achievements of, and the support for, multicultural policies and immigration intake, there some emerging issues that have the potential to undermine social cohesion.


- **Geographical concentration and isolation**

Although there are no ethnic ghettos in the strict sense of this term in Australia, the 2011 Census indicated that some migrants concentrate in particular suburbs of large cities. At the same time, they are highly likely to live in areas where a 30 percent or higher proportion of the population shares their identity, for example in localities such as Lakemba, Auburn and Greenacre in Sydney and Dandenong South, Dallas and Meadow Heights in Melbourne. They cannot be called ghettos as many houses are of high standard; as somebody observed: ‘They are moving up without necessarily moving out.’

The concentration of migrants was also formed during the days of post WWII migration with some suburbs being regarded as Italian, Greek or Polish. But this distinction has long since vanished as in time the migrants became geographically mobile, using their newly created wealth to settle in the suburbs they aspired to and integrating into broader society.

There is growing recognition in academia, government and non-government organisations that Muslim Australians have not participated as prominently as expected in the process of social inclusion despite Islam and Muslims becoming an integral part of Australian social fabric. Some Muslims, generally speaking, despite the establishment of numerous Muslim organisations, schools, mosques and businesses have remained at the periphery of Australian society and their primary social networks are frequently narrow, with one survey finding that for example, 40 percent of young Muslims of Lebanese origins have never had any Anglo-Celtic friends.

Clearly more needs to be done to involve Muslim communities with the mainstream, including governance, policy development and decision making processes. One of the ways to achieve this would be through support for a greater role of secular and grass-root level community initiatives and institutions.

- **Feeling of injustice**

The feeling of discrimination and injustice is reported to exist amongst some visibly different migrant groups, for example, youth from South Sudan, young Australian Muslims of Middle Eastern extraction and some others. According to a recent OECD survey this feeling appears to be significantly more prevalent in Australia than it is in Belgium and France.

There is also a sense that others are responsible for and must redress. For example, only 13 percent of Australian-born Lebanese Christians strongly believe governments need to do more to advance the position of migrants; but 54 percent of Australian-born Lebanese Muslims do. And though the majority of Australian-born Muslims say they have never experienced labour market discrimination themselves, they believe it to be relatively widespread and more so now than a decade ago.

Australian media has on occasion criticised leadership of some of community-based Islamic organisations in saying that they seek to legitimise and strengthen the perception of segregation and victimhood amongst young Muslims. For example, the statement made after the Paris attacks by the Grand Mufti of Australia Ibrahim Abu Mohamed that
nominated its causes as ‘racism, Islamophobia, curtailing freedoms through secularisation, duplicitous foreign policies and military intervention’ was heavily criticised. However the later Grand Mufti condemnation of the Brussels terrorist attacks was unqualified.

- **Radicalization**

The problem of violent extremism and radicalisation has clearly grown over the past several years in Australia. It impacts on a very small segment of the Muslim community in Australia, mainly on young men, but it has the potential for extreme violent behaviour and has resulted in sporadic acts of violent terrorism. This very small minority rejects the values of Western civilization and proclaim their support for Islamic state and intention to overthrow Australian democracy. It is the first ever determined challenge to Australian multiculturalism from cultural-relativist position.

Academics, commentators, community leaders and politicians differ in their analysis of the main contributing factors and how to counter radicalisation. Some Muslim leaders tend to blame the media for engaging in irresponsible reporting, arguing that Islam is actually a ‘religion of peace’ that plays no role in radicalisation or violent extremism.

The government response has been twofold. On one hand, to engage with Muslim communities and to focus on common values, on what unites rather than what divides. On the other, it initiated a range of de-radicalisation anti-terrorism measures. There is also much more focus on mapping out and support for factors that nourish social cohesion.

There is also a significant growth of both right and left wing extremism. While multiculturalism has consistently had majority support, there are sections of Australian society who are less comfortable with the pace of change and with the level of migrant services provided by the governments.

- **Racism and racial discrimination**

Finally, the issue of racism which, if prevalent, may constitute one of the biggest threats to the development and good functioning of a multi-ethnic society and its cohesion. Considering the historical overhang of past racism of the ‘White Australia’ policy and some recent incidents, the question needs to be asked: What is the actual level of racism in Australia now?

A recent national data survey from the Challenging Racism Project reported that direct individual experience of racist behavior is relatively low – from 6-7% who have experienced direct physical attacks or unfair treatment to some 20% who have experienced racial slurs and offensive gestures. The survey also demonstrated a very high level of awareness of racism amongst the Australian public, and possibly moral condemnation and disapproval of it. Racist hotspots are reported to be in areas of economic hardship, recent immigration and below-average education levels.

The above data is in line with the Newspoll survey findings published in The Australian newspaper on 17 July 2014 which concluded that ‘One in five people agrees the word
'racist' describes Australians ‘a lot’, while two thirds agree that describes them ‘a little’. Only 12 percent of people believe Australians are not at all racist.’ The BNLA study of recent humanitarian entrants has also reported some racism with only 5 percent reporting discrimination most commonly on the streets or public transport.

Research also indicated that experiences of racism vary considerably amongst different ethnic groups; there are issues also within immigrant communities. Also, people born overseas report higher rates of racism than those born in Australia, and are twice as likely to experience racism in the workplace, although the Scanlon Foundation surveys also indicated that ‘settled’ immigrants tend to experience lower levels of racist attitudes as more recent arrivals to Australia. (Dunn et al., 2009)

There is, however, a concern that racism may be on the increase. The 2015 Scanlon Foundation survey indicated an increase in people reporting a direct experience of discrimination because of their skin colour, ethnic origin or religion; the rate doubled to 18 percent since 2007. The research also showed a growing disengagement of some migrants from Australian life and a growing connection with countries of origin via the internet and satellite television. This links to the Survey suggesting that a sense of belonging to a ‘great extent’ fell from 77 percent in 2007 to 66 percent in 2014.

The 21 October 2015 address by the former Assistant Minister for Multicultural Affairs, Senator Concetta Fierravanti-Wells has well illustrated the discrimination in employment impact on Australians from minority backgrounds. She pointed out that ‘...of the 136,000 ongoing Australian Public service employees, less than 20,000 or about 14 percent, come from a non-English speaking background. In the Senior Executive Service, only 138 out of 1,918 are from non-English speaking background.’ (Fierravanti-Wells, 2015).

The employment discrimination against Muslim Australians would be of particular concern as currently anti-Muslim feelings have become more visible with the Scanlon Foundation surveys indicating that the attitude towards those of the Muslim faith remains relatively high (Markus, 2014). Furthermore, research also points to some deep rooted concern in some section of the population about the cultural impact of Islamic migration. The Scanlon survey (Markus, 2015) found that 25 percent of Australians expressed negative attitudes towards Muslims which is many times higher than negative attitudes against any other religious group. A high level of concern was also uncovered by the recent AIP survey last November. Graham Young, AIP Executive Director concluded: ‘There is a very strong feeling that immigrants from Islamic countries are part of a culture war pitting their way of life and beliefs against ours.’ /.../ ‘People are in favour of immigration, so this is not per se, xenophobia.’ (Australian Institute for Progress, 2015).

To conclude, there is no doubt that racism remains an issue for Australia and that there are active pockets of racist behaviour and attitudes are in existence. However, this falls short of characterising racism as being a prevalent feature of contemporary Australian society.
Multiculturalism as a national compact

Since the very early days of European settlement, the concept of belonging to Australia was quite narrow – it was centred around Anglo-Celtic ethos and institutions. In recent decades, the mass non-Anglo-Celtic migration has broadened the national identity enormously, shifting from an originally narrow focus to a more complex outlook nowadays. Now one can be from anywhere and maintain the traditions you grew up with – and still be Australian. Australian multicultural success to date has in part been due to the malleability of Australian culture and consistent economic growth, mainly due to our resources and massive migration intakes.

Today multiculturalism is seen by many as a business card or as the best short descriptor of today’s Australia, although for some people, comfort with cultural diversity is still limited to culinary diversity. In fact, multiculturalism is not a search for utopia, but a practical policy designed to include all Australians regardless of their ethnic or national heritage.

Australian multiculturalism aims to deliver equality of opportunity and social inclusion for all. It is not however, as some would expect, a policy charged with singlehanded protection of minority cultures. It must instead be seen as an important social compact focussing on mutual rights and obligations. At its core there is a requirement for all migrants to accept Australian core values and laws. It also allows migrants to keep their birth country’s customs and traditions providing that they do not conflict with the core values. It aims at development of a well-integrated and cohesive society that values and respects difference. It does not however encourage development of separate, parallel communities based on ethnic, religious or racial distinction.

The fundamentals of the compact as initially defined by Fraser’s 1978 ‘Guiding principles’ and in particular Hawke’s 1988 ‘National Agenda for a Multicultural Australia’.

On one hand, the multicultural compact aims to advance egalitarian, economically robust, culturally sensitive and politically inclusive Australia. Cultural diversity is welcomed as an asset and governments are charged with keeping the societal structures open to and inclusive of newcomers. The compact also encourages preservation and transfer of minority cultural and linguistic heritage to the next generation and provides some resources to assist with cultural maintenance.

The multicultural compact is underpinned by core Australian values such as equality of the sexes and the rule of law and expresses the principle of respect for and tolerance of racial, cultural and religious differences. In fact, multiculturalism extended Australian egalitarianism and the ‘fair go’ ethos to include cultural, linguistic and religious differences. Craig Laundy, Assistant Minister for Multicultural Affairs, in his recent opinion piece said: ‘Our commitment to the rule of law, our parliamentary democracy, equality of opportunity regardless of race, religion or ethnic background; tolerance, fair play, mutual respect – these are the values that have attracted more than 7.5 million migrants to Australia and they are the very reasons why multiculturalism has been such a success.” (Laundy, 2016).
Thus, new settlers are expected to participate on equal terms in all facets of the Australian society, to access economic, educational and other opportunities and to contribute to nation building. In particular, they are expected to join the broader Australian society and its political and cultural institutions. Settlers are to participate fully in the Australian economy delivering the so-called ‘productive diversity’ dividend (Cope & Kalantzis, 1997).

On the other hand, the compact requires that minority cultures do not conflict with the Australian core values and with other minority groups. The Australian Citizenship pledge reads: ‘From this time forward I pledge my loyalty to Australia and its people, whose democratic beliefs I share, whose rights and liberties I respect, and whose laws I will uphold and obey.’ Thus, it is also expected that newcomers will give up their foreign loyalties and, in particular, involvement with the country of origin’s conflicts and ethnic or religious hatreds. The former Prime Minister Tony Abbott expressed this idea by saying that: ‘Newcomers to this country are not expected to surrender their heritage but they are expected to surrender their hatreds.’

However, the recent experience tends to suggest that an upcoming issue is the rejection within a segment of Muslim population of the values of western civilisation. For example, the Hizub ut-Tahrir Islamist group regard that singing the national anthem or pledging support for democratic values and the oath of citizenship amounts to an oppressive campaign of ‘forced assimilation’. (Lewis & Higgins, 2015) This is, perhaps, an unusual development in the context of Australian multicultural experience.

The above tenants of this social compact were well summarised by the former Prime Minister Gillard who said: “Multiculturalism is not only just the ability to maintain our diverse backgrounds and cultures. It is the meeting place of rights and responsibilities. Where the right to maintain one’s customs, language and religion is balanced by an equal responsibility to learn English, find work, respect our culture and heritage, and accept women as full equals”. (Australianpolitics.com, 2012)

The recent Harmony Day speech delivered by Prime Minister Turnbull at Parliament House reinstated the compact dimension of Australian multiculturalism. The Prime Minister said: ‘The greatest thing that we have succeeded in creating, all of us, all of us succeeded in creating the most successful multicultural society in the world./…/ And, we have done so because of an essential ingredient which is so Australian. That of respect. Mutual respect, a fair go, live and let live. Many ways you can describe it but that mutual respect, that two-way street of respect is what underpins our great multicultural society. /…/ you know, there was a time when people talked about multiculturalism, some people did, as though it was a practice where people were separate in their own cultures like a series of enclaves. That’s not Australia, our multiculturalism is one where we are all enriched by each other’s cultures.’ (Turnbull, 2016a)

Following the terrorist attacks in Brussels on 23 March 2016 the Prime Minister Turnbull asserted that multiculturalism is one of key ingredients ensuring that Australia is better placed than many of our European counterparts in dealing with the threat of terrorism. He said: ‘Strong borders, vigilant security agencies governed by the rule of law, and a steadfast
commitment to the shared values of freedom and mutual respect - these are the ingredients of multicultural success - which is what we have achieved in Australia.’(Turnbull, 2016b)

To summarise, Australian multiculturalism is unquestionably a success story. It reflects a demographic reality, it is supported by national policy and institutions; and it is centred on a social compact that is built on mutual respect and shared rights and responsibilities. Multicultural policies have helped to unlock migrants’ capacity and willingness to contribute to broader society. The policies were also able to build and maintain an unparalleled level of social cohesion despite a continuously high and culturally diverse migration intake level since the late 1940’s.

As a high volume of migration to Australia is likely to continue in the foreseeable future, multiculturalism, with its stress on core values of democracy, equality, social justice and English as a national language, must continue as government endorsed social policy to deliver integration of newcomers and social cohesion for all. Perhaps much more would need to be done in terms of citizenship education in order to combat the relativist tendencies in Australian multiculturalism. The European Union approach to citizenship education provides a good benchmark for Australia to aspire to. (European Commission, 2012)

Multiculturalism compact, however, must also be seen as a work in progress project. To maintain a high level of social support for the multicultural compact, governments of the day would need to maintain its integrity and not to allow diversity to be used as party political football. On-going government leadership is needed to ensure that the key tenants of multicultural compact are understood and continue to be supported by all Australians and that xenophobia and racism are kept in check.
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