

Groupoid models and C^* -algebras of diagrams of groupoid correspondences

Ralf Meyer

Mathematisches Institut
Universität Göttingen

30.09.2020–02.10.2020

The groupoid model of a groupoid correspondence

The universal action as an analogue of complete histories

The groupoid model

Groupoid models in the discrete case

The groupoid model and the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra

Diagrams of groupoid correspondences

The bicategories of groupoid and C^* -correspondences

Diagrams in a bicategory

The groupoid model of a diagram of groupoid correspondences

An analogue of the space of complete histories

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{X}: \mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid correspondence.
- ▶ For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form the n -fold composition $\mathcal{X}^{\circ n}: \mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}$.
- ▶ The map $\mathcal{X}^{\circ n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\circ n}$, $[(x_0, \dots, x_n)] \rightarrow [(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})]$, is **not well defined**.
- ▶ $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (x_0 g_0^{-1}, g_0 x_1 g_1^{-1}, \dots, g_{n-2} x_{n-1} g_{n-1}^{-1}, g_{n-1} x_n)$
- ▶ The map $\mathcal{X}^{\circ n+1} / \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\circ n} / \mathcal{G}$, $[(x_0, \dots, x_n)] \rightarrow [(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})]$, is well defined.

Definition

Let $\Omega := \varprojlim \mathcal{X}^{\circ n} / \mathcal{G}$.

Lemma

There is a well defined action of \mathcal{G} on Ω by

$$g \cdot [(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)] := [(g \cdot x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)].$$

The map $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{G}(0)} \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $(x_0, [(x_1, x_2, \dots)]) \mapsto [(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)]$, induces a canonical homeomorphism $\mathcal{X} \circ \Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega$.

The universal action

Theorem

The canonical homeomorphism $\mathcal{X} \circ \Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega$ is the universal action of the groupoid correspondence \mathcal{X} .

Proof.

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{X} \circ Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ for a \mathcal{G} -space Y be any action of \mathcal{X} .
- ▶ Get canonical continuous \mathcal{G} -equivariant maps

$$Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}^{\circ n} \circ Y := (\mathcal{X}^{\circ n} \times_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} Y) / \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} (\mathcal{X}^{\circ n}) / \mathcal{G}.$$

- ▶ They combine to a canonical continuous \mathcal{G} -map $Y \rightarrow \Omega$.
- ▶ This is the unique \mathcal{X} -equivariant map $Y \rightarrow \Omega$. □

The groupoid model

- ▶ The object space of the groupoid model must be the underlying space Ω of the universal \mathcal{X} -action.
- ▶ It remains to find the arrows for our groupoid model.
- ▶ We describe this through an inverse semigroup action.
- ▶ This is based on a set of **bisections of \mathcal{X}** .

Definition

Let $\mathcal{X}: \mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a groupoid correspondence.

A **bisection of \mathcal{X}** is an open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ such that $\sigma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0$ and the quotient map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{G}$ are injective on U .

Lemma

The quotient map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{G}$ is a local homeomorphism.

The space \mathcal{X} is covered by bisections.

How bisections act if \mathcal{X} acts

- ▶ Let $\alpha: \mathcal{X} \circ Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ be an action of \mathcal{X} on Y .
- ▶ Let $U \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a bisection.
- ▶ $\varrho_Y^{-1}(\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}(U)) \subseteq Y$ is an open subset.
- ▶ Let $y \in \varrho_Y^{-1}(\sigma_{\mathcal{X}}(U)) \subseteq Y$.
- ▶ There is a unique $x \in U$ with $\sigma(x) = \varrho(y)$.
- ▶ Define $\vartheta_U(y) := \alpha(x, y) \in Y$.

Lemma

ϑ_U is a partial homeomorphism on Y .

Proof.

- ▶ Since α is a homeomorphism and the image of $U \times_{\mathcal{G}(0)} Y$ in $\mathcal{X} \circ Y$ is open, the map ϑ_U is open.
- ▶ We must prove that it is injective.
- ▶ Let $\vartheta_U(y_1) = \vartheta_U(y_2)$.
- ▶ Let $x_1, x_2 \in U$ be unique with $\sigma(x_i) = \varrho(y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- ▶ $\alpha(x_1, y_1) = \alpha(x_2, y_2) \dots$

Proof continued

Lemma

ϑ_U is a partial homeomorphism on Y .

- ▶ $\alpha(x_1, y_1) = \alpha(x_2, y_2)$.
- ▶ $[(x_1, y_1)] = [(x_2, y_2)]$ in $\mathcal{X} \circ Y$.
- ▶ $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2 g^{-1}, g y_2)$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$.
- ▶ $[x_1] = [x_2]$ in \mathcal{X}/\mathcal{G}
- ▶ $x_1 = x_2$ in \mathcal{X}
- ▶ $g = 1$
- ▶ $y_1 = y_2$

Integrating an action of bisections?

Question

Let $\vartheta: \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \{\text{partial homeo's of } Y\}$.

When does ϑ come from an \mathcal{X} -action $\mathcal{X} \circ Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$?

- ▶ Let $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times_{\sigma, \mathcal{G}^{(0)}, \varrho} Y$.
- ▶ There is $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$ with $x \in U$.
- ▶ Is $\alpha[(x, y)] := \vartheta_U(y)$ a well-defined map $\mathcal{X} \circ Y \rightarrow Y$?
Is α a homeomorphism?

The algebra of bisections

Definition

$V \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ acts on Y by a partial homeomorphism $\vartheta_V: \varrho^{-1}(s(V)) \rightarrow \varrho^{-1}(r(V))$, mapping $y \in \varrho^{-1}(s(V))$ to $g \cdot y$ for the unique $g \in V$ with $s(g) = \varrho(y)$.

Lemma

- ▶ Let $U, U_2 \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$, $V \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$.
- ▶ Let $\vartheta: \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \sqcup \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \{\text{partial homeo's of } Y\}$ come from an \mathcal{X} -action.
- ▶ $U \cdot V = \{x \cdot g : x \in U, g \in V\}$ is a bisection of \mathcal{X} .
- ▶ $V \cdot U = \{g \cdot x : x \in U, g \in V\}$ is a bisection of \mathcal{X} .
- ▶ $\vartheta_U \vartheta_V = \vartheta_{UV}$ and $\vartheta_V \vartheta_U = \vartheta_{VU}$.
- ▶ $\langle U | U_2 \rangle := \{g \in \mathcal{G} : Ug \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ is a bisection of \mathcal{G} .
- ▶ $\vartheta_U^* \vartheta_{U_2} = \vartheta_{\langle U | U_2 \rangle}$.

Lemma

- ▶ Let Y be a \mathcal{G} -space.
- ▶ Let $\vartheta: \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \{\text{partial homeo's of } Y\}$ be the induced map.
- ▶ Let $\vartheta: \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \{\text{partial homeo's of } Y\}$ be a map.
- ▶ Assume $\vartheta_U \vartheta_V = \vartheta_{UV}$ and $\vartheta_U^* \vartheta_{U_2} = \vartheta_{\langle U | U_2 \rangle}$ for $U, U_2 \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$, $V \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$.
- ▶ Then there is a well defined, injective, open, continuous map $\alpha: \mathcal{X} \circ Y \hookrightarrow Y$ with $\alpha[(x, y)] = \vartheta_U(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{G}(0)} Y$, $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$, $x \in U$.
- ▶ The map α is a homeomorphism \iff $\text{im } \vartheta_U$ for $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$ cover Y .

The groupoid model

Definition

Let S be the universal inverse semigroup generated by Θ_U for $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \sqcup \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$ with the following relations:

- ▶ $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow S$ is a unital homomorphism;
- ▶ $\Theta_U \Theta_V = \Theta_{UV}$, $\Theta_V \Theta_U = \Theta_{VU}$ if $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$, $V \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$;
- ▶ $\Theta_{U_1}^* \Theta_{U_2} = \Theta_{\langle U_1 | U_2 \rangle}$ for $U_1, U_2 \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem

- ▶ *Let Ω be the universal \mathcal{X} -action.*
- ▶ *The action on Ω induces an inverse semigroup action $\vartheta: S \rightarrow \{\text{partial homeo's of } \Omega\}$.*
- ▶ *$\Omega \rtimes_{\vartheta} S$ is the groupoid model for $\mathcal{X}: \mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}$.*

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Let Y carry an \mathcal{X} -action.
- ▶ Since Ω is terminal, there is a unique \mathcal{X} -equivariant continuous map $f: Y \rightarrow \Omega$.
- ▶ The \mathcal{X} -actions on Y and Ω induce actions of S .
- ▶ The map f is S -equivariant.
- ▶ $\Omega \rtimes S$ acts on Y .
- ▶ The construction is natural:
 \mathcal{X} -equivariant maps are $\Omega \rtimes S$ -equivariant.
- ▶ An action of $\Omega \rtimes S$ on Y induces an action of $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ and an equivariant map to $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.
- ▶ This induces a \mathcal{G} -action on Y .
- ▶ $S \curvearrowright Y$ gives an injective, open, continuous map $\mathcal{X} \circ Y \hookrightarrow Y$.
- ▶ The analogous map $\mathcal{X} \circ \Omega \hookrightarrow \Omega$ is a homeomorphism.
- ▶ Since f is S -equivariant, $\mathcal{X} \circ Y \cong Y$.

The discrete case

- ▶ Let \mathcal{G} be a discrete groupoid.
- ▶ Then \mathcal{X} is a discrete set as well.
- ▶ $\Omega \times S$ does not change when we replace $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{X})$ by the subsets of **singleton or empty bisections**.
- ▶ Thus we replace S by the inverse semigroup S' generated by $\mathcal{G} \sqcup \mathcal{X} \sqcup \emptyset$ with the following relations:
 - ▶ Θ_\emptyset is a zero element of S' .
 - ▶ Let $g, h \in \mathcal{G} \sqcup \mathcal{X}$ and not $g, h \in \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$\Theta_g \Theta_h = \begin{cases} \Theta_{g \cdot h} & \text{if } s(g) = r(h), \\ \Theta_\emptyset & \text{if } s(g) \neq r(h). \end{cases}$$

- ▶ If $x \in \mathcal{X}$, then $\Theta_x^* \Theta_x = \Theta_{\sigma(x)}$ with $\sigma(x) \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.
- ▶ If $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$, $x_1 \mathcal{G} \neq x_2 \mathcal{G}$, then $\Theta_{x_1}^* \Theta_{x_2} = \Theta_\emptyset$.

Further relations in S'

- ▶ $\Theta_\emptyset = 0$
- ▶ $\Theta_g^* = \Theta_{g^{-1}}$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}$.
- ▶ There are well defined maps $\mathcal{X}^{\circ n} \rightarrow S'$,
 $[(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \mapsto \Theta_{x_1} \cdots \Theta_{x_n}$.
- ▶ The map $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{X}^{\circ n} \rightarrow S'$, $\omega \mapsto \Theta_\omega$, is “multiplicative”:

$$\Theta_{\omega\eta} = \begin{cases} \Theta_\omega \Theta_\eta & \text{if } \sigma(\omega) = \varrho(\eta), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Any non-zero element of S' is of the form $\Theta_\omega \Theta_\eta^*$ for $\omega, \eta \in \bigsqcup \mathcal{X}^{\circ m}$ with $\sigma(\eta) = \sigma(\omega)$.
- ▶ $\Theta_\omega^* \Theta_\omega = \Theta_{\sigma(\omega)}$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{X}^{\circ m}$.
- ▶ If $\omega, \omega' \in \mathcal{X}^{\circ m}$ and $[\omega] \neq [\omega']$ in $\mathcal{X}^{\circ m}/\mathcal{G}$, then $\Theta_\omega^* \Theta_{\omega'} = 0$.
- ▶ These relations give a formula for $\Theta_{\omega_1} \Theta_{\eta_1}^* \cdot \Theta_{\omega_2} \Theta_{\eta_2}^*$ for all $\omega_1, \eta_1, \omega_2, \eta_2 \in \bigsqcup \mathcal{X}^{\circ m}$:
 - ▶ Split the longer one of η_1 and ω_2 to get a combination $\Theta_{\eta'_1}^* \cdot \Theta_{\omega'_2}$ with η'_1, ω'_2 of the same length.
 - ▶ This is either **zero** or **belongs to $\Theta(\mathcal{G}) = \Theta(\mathcal{G})^*$** .
 - ▶ Then the product is **zero** or **simplifies to a standard form**.

The groupoid model and the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{X}: \mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a proper groupoid correspondence.

Let \mathcal{H} be its groupoid model.

Then $C^(\mathcal{H})$ is isomorphic to the absolute Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of $C^*(\mathcal{X})$.*

Some abstract nonsense

- ▶ $\mathcal{H} \curvearrowright \mathcal{H} \curvearrowleft \mathcal{H}$ induces $\mathcal{X} \curvearrowright \mathcal{H} \curvearrowleft \mathcal{H}$ by the universal property of the groupoid model.
- ▶ The \mathcal{X} -action on \mathcal{H} contains an action of \mathcal{G} .
- ▶ It commutes with the right \mathcal{H} -action.
- ▶ \mathcal{H} becomes a groupoid correspondence $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}$.
- ▶ This correspondence $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}$ is proper:
 $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H} \cong \Omega$ and $\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0$ is proper because \mathcal{X} is proper.
- ▶ Get a proper C^* -correspondence $C^*(\mathcal{H}): C^*(\mathcal{G}) \leftarrow C^*(\mathcal{H})$.
- ▶ This is a nondegenerate $*$ -homomorphism $C^*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{H})$.

Composing C^* -correspondences

Definition

- ▶ Let A, B, C be C^* -algebras.
- ▶ Let $\mathcal{E}: A \leftarrow B$ and $\mathcal{F}: B \leftarrow C$ be C^* -correspondences.
- ▶ Equip $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{F}$ with the obvious A, C -bimodule structure and the inner product

$$\langle x_1 \otimes y_1 \mid x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle := \langle y_1 \mid \langle x_1 \mid x_2 \rangle y_2 \rangle.$$

- ▶ This is positive definite.
- ▶ Completing $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{F}$ in it gives a C^* -correspondence $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{F}: A \leftarrow C$.

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{G} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{H} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{K}$ be groupoid correspondences.

Then $C^*(\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{X}) \circ C^*(\mathcal{Y})$.

Representations of proper C^* -correspondences

A, D C^* -algebras

\mathcal{E} proper A, A -correspondence

\mathcal{F} A, D -correspondence with left action $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F})$

Definition (nondegenerate representation of \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{F})

isomorphism of A, D -correspondences $T: \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem (Albandik–M 2016)

There is a natural bijection between representations of \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{F} and linear maps $S: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F})$ such that (φ, S) is a Cuntz–Pimsner covariant Toeplitz representation of \mathcal{E} :

$$S(x)(y) = T(x \otimes y)$$

Proof sketch for the theorem

- ▶ S is a Toeplitz representation
 $\iff T$ isometric and left A -linear.
- ▶ Remains: S Cuntz–Pimsner covariant $\iff T$ surjective.
- ▶ $\varphi_1(|x_1\rangle\langle x_2|)S(x_3) = S(x_1)S(x_2)^*S(x_3) = S(|x_1\rangle\langle x_2|x_3)$ for $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{E}$
- ▶ $\varphi_1(\psi(a))S(x_3) = S(a \cdot x_3) = \varphi(a)S(x_3)$ for $a \in A, x_3 \in \mathcal{E}$.
- ▶ Cuntz–Pimsner covariance holds on $S(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{F}$.
- ▶ T surjective $\iff S(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$.
- ▶ **Cuntz–Pimsner covariant** \implies
 $\mathcal{F} \subseteq A \cdot \mathcal{F} \subseteq S(\mathcal{E})S(\mathcal{E})^*\mathcal{F} \subseteq S(\mathcal{E})\mathcal{F} \implies T$ surjective.

Cuntz–Pimsner algebra \rightarrow groupoid C^* -algebra

- ▶ Recall: $\mathcal{X} \curvearrowright \mathcal{H} \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$
- ▶ The isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \circ_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}$ induces

$$C^*(\mathcal{X}) \circ C^*(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(\mathcal{H})$$

- ▶ Since $C^*(\mathcal{X})$ is a proper correspondence, this is equivalent to a Cuntz–Pimsner covariant Toeplitz representation $C^*(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{H})$.
- ▶ It induces $\mathcal{O}_{C^*(\mathcal{X})} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem

This $$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{C^*(\mathcal{X})} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{H})$ is an isomorphism.*

The bicategories of groupoid and C^* -correspondences

- ▶ Groupoid correspondences and C^* -correspondences are **not the arrows of a category** because their composition is only associative up to canonical isomorphisms.
- ▶ Such situations also occur in other contexts.
- ▶ The concept of a bicategory formalises this.
- ▶ A monoid action in a bicategory has **extra data** for the compatibility with multiplication.
- ▶ A monoid action in the C^* -correspondence bicategory is a **product system** over the monoid.
- ▶ A diagram of groupoid correspondences induces a product system.
- ▶ The diagram also has a groupoid model.
- ▶ Sometimes the C^* -algebra of the groupoid model is isomorphic to the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of the product system – but not always.

Composition is weakly unital and associative

- ▶ The composition of groupoid correspondences is associative and unital up to natural isomorphisms

Definition

Let A be a C^* -algebra.

Then A with the obvious Hilbert A -module structure and left multiplication by A is a correspondence $A \leftarrow A$, called the **unit correspondence**.

Lemma

For any correspondence $\mathcal{E} : A \leftarrow B$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \circ \mathcal{E} &\cong \mathcal{E}, & \mathcal{E} \circ B &\cong \mathcal{E}, \\ a \otimes x &\mapsto a \cdot x, & x \otimes b &\mapsto x \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ are composable correspondences, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{F}) \circ \mathcal{G} &\cong \mathcal{E} \circ (\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}), \\ (x \otimes y) \otimes z &\mapsto x \otimes (y \otimes z). \end{aligned}$$

The bicategory of C^* -correspondences

There is a bicategory that has

objects C^* -algebras

arrows $B \rightarrow A$ C^* -correspondences $\mathcal{E}: A \leftarrow B$

2-arrows $\mathcal{E}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ linear maps $\mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ that are A, B -bimodule maps and isometric for the B -valued inner products (“correspondence maps”)

product \circ

vertical product if $\mathcal{E}_i: A \leftarrow B$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $S: \mathcal{E}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ and $T: \mathcal{E}_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3$, then the **vertical product** of T and S is $T \circ S: \mathcal{E}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3$

horizontal product if $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2: A \leftarrow B$ and $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2: B \leftarrow C$, and $S: \mathcal{E}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$, $T: \mathcal{F}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$, then their horizontal product is $S \otimes_B T: \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_B \mathcal{F}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes_B \mathcal{F}_2$

Associators and unitors are the canonical isomorphisms

$(\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{F}) \circ \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{E} \circ (\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})$, $A \circ \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$, and $\mathcal{E} \circ B \cong \mathcal{E}$.

Diagrams in a bicategory

- ▶ Let M be a monoid, \mathcal{C} a bicategory.
- ▶ A homomorphism $M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ consists of
 - ▶ an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$;
 - ▶ arrows $\alpha_g: A \rightarrow A$ for $g \in M$;
 - ▶ invertible 2-arrows $\mu_{g,h}: \alpha_g \alpha_h \Rightarrow \alpha_{gh}$ for $(g, h) \in M$

such that $\alpha_1 = 1_A$, $\mu_{1,h}$ and $\mu_{g,1}$ are the canonical 2-arrows, and the following diagrams commute for $(g, h, k) \in M^3$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \alpha_g \alpha_{hk} & \xleftarrow{1 \bullet \mu_{h,k}} & \alpha_g (\alpha_h \alpha_k) \cong (\alpha_g \alpha_h) \alpha_k & \xrightarrow{\mu_{g,h} \bullet 1} & \alpha_{gh} \alpha_k \\
 & \searrow \mu_{g,hk} & & \swarrow \mu_{gh,k} & \\
 & & \alpha_{ghk} & &
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ A homomorphism from M to the C^* -correspondence bicategory is the same as a product system over M .
- ▶ Diagrams in a bicategory are even a bit more general, allowing M to be a (bi)category.

Diagrams of groupoid correspondences

- ▶ A diagram of groupoid correspondences over a monoid M consists of

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G} \text{ groupoid} \\ & \mathcal{X}_g \text{ groupoid correspondences for } g \in M \\ & \mu_{g,h} \text{ isomorphisms of groupoid correspondences} \\ & \mathcal{X}_g \circ \mathcal{X}_h \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_{gh} \end{aligned}$$

such that

- ▶ $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathcal{G}$
- ▶ $\mu_{1,h}: \mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{X}_h \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_h$ and $\mu_{g,1}: \mathcal{X}_g \circ \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_g$ are the canonical isomorphisms
- ▶ μ is “associative”
- ▶ Applying C^* turns this into a diagram of C^* -correspondences.
- ▶ This is a product system, and it has a Cuntz–Pimsner algebra.

Link to higher-rank graphs

Proposition

Let V be a topological space viewed as a groupoid.

A rank- k topological graph with vertex space V is equivalent to a diagram of groupoid correspondences with $M = \mathbb{N}^k$ and $\mathcal{G} = V$.

Actions of diagrams of groupoid correspondences

- ▶ Take a diagram of groupoid correspondences over M :

\mathcal{G} groupoid

\mathcal{X}_g groupoid correspondences for $g \in M$

$\mu_{g,h}$ isomorphisms of groupoid correspondences

$$\mathcal{X}_g \circ \mathcal{X}_h \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_{gh}$$

- ▶ An action of the diagram on a locally compact space Y consists of

- ▶ a \mathcal{G} -action on Y

- ▶ \mathcal{G} -equivariant homeomorphisms $\alpha_g: \mathcal{X}_g \circ Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ for $g \in G$

such that

- ▶ $\alpha_1: \mathcal{G} \circ Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ is the canonical homeomorphism

- ▶ the following diagrams commute for all $g, h \in M$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{X}_g \circ Y & \xleftarrow{1 \bullet \alpha_h} & \mathcal{X}_g \circ (\mathcal{X}_h \circ Y) \cong (\mathcal{X}_g \circ \mathcal{X}_h) \circ Y & \xrightarrow{\mu_{g,h} \bullet 1} & \mathcal{X}_{gh} \circ Y \\
 & \searrow \alpha_g & & \swarrow \alpha_{gh} & \\
 & & Y & &
 \end{array}$$

The groupoid model of a diagram

- ▶ The groupoid model of a diagram of **proper** groupoid correspondences is defined as for a single groupoid correspondence:
its actions on locally compact spaces are in natural bijection with actions of the diagram
- ▶ The groupoid model exists. It is unique up to isomorphism.
- ▶ Its object space is the underlying space of the **universal action** of the diagram.
- ▶ The Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of the product system associated to the diagram maps canonically to the groupoid C^* -algebra of the groupoid model.
- ▶ This map is always surjective, but not always injective.

Theorem

Let M be an Ore monoid such as $M = \mathbb{N}^k$.

Then the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of the product system associated to a diagram of proper groupoid correspondences is isomorphic to the groupoid C^ -algebra of the groupoid model.*