

Groupoid models and C^* -algebras of diagrams of groupoid correspondences

Ralf Meyer

Mathematisches Institut
Universität Göttingen

30.09.2020–02.10.2020

Motivation and Summary

- ▶ Many C^* -algebras may also be described as the **groupoid C^* -algebra** of an **étale, locally compact groupoid**:
 - ▶ crossed products $C_0(X) \rtimes G$
 - ▶ (topological, higher-rank) graph C^* -algebras
 - ▶ Nekrashevych's algebras of self-similar groups
 - ▶ simple Kirchberg algebras
 - ▶ all classifiable simple C^* -algebras???
- ▶ Some of these C^* -algebras are already built from "dynamical, topological" data.
- ▶ We are going to
 - ▶ encode this data through **groupoid correspondences** or, more generally, **diagrams of groupoid correspondences**
 - ▶ from **this**, build a **C^* -correspondence** or, more generally, a **product system**
 - ▶ define a **groupoid model** from **that**
 - ▶ compare the **groupoid C^* -algebra** of the **groupoid model** to the **Cuntz–Pimsner algebra** of the **C^* -correspondence**.

Étale groupoids

Irreversible dynamics and topological correspondences

Étale groupoid correspondences

Examples of groupoid correspondences

Étale (locally compact) groupoids – the definition

Definition (data of an étale groupoid)

$\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$	objects, units	locally compact, Hausdorff space
\mathcal{G}	arrows	locally (quasi)compact space
r	range	local homeomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$
s	source	local homeomorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$
$\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$	composables	$\{(g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} : s(g) = r(h)\}$
m	multiplication	continuous map $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $(g, h) \mapsto g \cdot h$

Definition (conditions for an étale groupoid)

- ▶ m is associative, $r(g \cdot h) = r(g)$, $s(g \cdot h) = s(h)$.
- ▶ m is unital. The unit map $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a homeomorphism onto an open subset.
- ▶ Each arrow has an inverse.
The inversion $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a homeomorphism.

An example: transformation groupoid for a group action

The data

Γ (discrete) group

X locally compact space

$\alpha: \Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$, group action by homeomorphisms

The following is a Hausdorff étale groupoid:

The transformation groupoid: $x \xrightarrow{\gamma} \gamma \cdot x$

$$\mathcal{G}^{(0)} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} := X$$

$$r(\gamma, x) := \gamma \cdot x$$

$$s(\gamma, x) := x$$

$$m(\gamma, \eta \cdot x) \cdot (\eta, x) := (\gamma \cdot \eta, x)$$

Inverse semigroups

Definition

An **inverse semigroup** is a semigroup S such that for each $s \in S$ there is a **unique** $t \in S$ with $sts = s$ and $tst = t$. Write $s^* := t$.

- ▶ Let X be a set.
- ▶ A **partial map** on X is a map $f: U \rightarrow V$ for $U, V \subseteq X$.
- ▶ Let $X^+ := X \sqcup \{\infty\}$.
Extend f to a map $f^+: X^+ \rightarrow X^+$ by $f(x) := \infty$ if $x \notin U$.
- ▶ The ordinary composite $f^+ \circ g^+$ for partial maps f, g is $(f \circ g)^+$ for a partial map $f \circ g$, defined by $(f \circ g)(x) := f(g(x))$ if $g(x)$ and $f(g(x))$ are defined, and undefined otherwise.

Theorem

Partial bijections $X \rightarrow X$ form an inverse semigroup.

Here f^ for a bijection $f: U \rightarrow V$ is $f^{-1}: V \rightarrow U$.*

Any inverse semigroup embeds in partial bijections.

More structure on inverse semigroups

Let S be an inverse semigroup.

unit $1 \in S$ with $1 \cdot s = s = s \cdot 1$

zero $0 \in S$ with $0 \cdot s = 0 = s \cdot 0$

idempotent $e \in S$ with $e^2 = e$, $E(S) := \{\text{idempotents}\}$

Definition (partial order)

$s \leq t \iff s = ts^*s \iff s = ss^*t \iff$

$s = te$ for some $e \in E(S) \iff s = et$ for some $e \in E(S)$.

Example (inverse semigroup of partial bijections)

The identity on X is 1. The empty partial map is 0.

The idempotents are the identity maps of subsets.

$s \leq t \iff s$ is a restriction of t to a subset of its domain.

Theorem

The idempotents in S form a commutative subsemigroup.

Inverse semigroup actions

Let X be a locally compact space.

Definition (partial homeomorphism)

homeomorphism $\vartheta_s: U \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ for open subsets $U, V \subseteq X$

Definition (action of an inverse semigroup S on X)

homomorphism $S \rightarrow \{\text{partial homeomorphisms of } X\}$

It maps $s \in S$ to a homeomorphism $\vartheta_s: D_{s^*s} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{ss^*}$
for open subsets $D_e \subseteq X$ for $e \in E(S)$.

Remark

If S has no unit, we adjoin one to it and extend the action by $1 \cdot x := x$ for all $x \in X$.

Transformation groupoid of an inverse semigroup action

$\mathcal{G}^{(0)} = X$

$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \bigsqcup_{t \in S} D_{t^*t}$, elements (t, x) with $x \in D_{t^*t}$

$s(t, x) := x$

$r(t, x) := \vartheta_t(x)$

$m(u, \vartheta_t(x)) \cdot (t, x) := (u \cdot t, x)$

Problem

$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is not a groupoid.

Definition (equivalence relation \sim)

$(t, x) \sim (u, x) \iff \exists e \in E(S)$ with $x \in D_e$ and $t \cdot e = u \cdot e$.

Theorem

There is an étale groupoid $\mathcal{G} = X \rtimes_{\vartheta} S$ with $\mathcal{G} := \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\sim$ with the quotient topology and r, s, m induced by the maps on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

It may happen that \mathcal{G} is not Hausdorff.

Bisections of an étale groupoid

Definition (bisection)

open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that $r|_U, s|_U$ are injective
It defines a partial homeomorphism of $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$:

$$\vartheta_U := r|_U \circ (s|_U)^{-1} : s(U) \rightarrow r(U)$$

- ▶ The bisections in \mathcal{G} with the multiplication of subsets form an inverse semigroup $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$.
- ▶ ϑ is an action of $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Proposition (étale groupoids \simeq inverse semigroup actions)

The transformation groupoid of the $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ -action on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is again \mathcal{G} .

Warning

Let ϑ be an action of an inverse semigroup S on X .
There is a canonical homomorphism $S \rightarrow \text{Bis}(X \rtimes_{\vartheta} S)$.
It need not be an isomorphism.

The C^* -algebra of an étale groupoid

- ▶ Let U, V be bisections, $f_1 \in C_c(U)$, $f_2 \in C_c(V)$.
Extend f_1, f_2 by 0 outside U and V .
- ▶ $(f_1 * f_2)(g) := \sum_{r(x)=r(g)} f_1(x)f_2(x^{-1}g)$
- ▶ $f^*(g) := \overline{f(g^{-1})}$
- ▶ Then $f_1 * f_2 \in C_c(U \cdot V)$, $f^* \in C_c(U^*)$.
- ▶ Let $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G})$ be the linear span of $C_c(U)$ for all bisections of \mathcal{G} .
- ▶ \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff $\iff \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}) = C_c(\mathcal{G})$
- ▶ The formulas above make $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G})$ a $*$ -algebra.

Definition (groupoid C^* -algebra)

$C^*(\mathcal{G})$ is the completion of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G})$ in its largest C^* -seminorm.

Irreversible dynamics and topological correspondences

- ▶ Groups act on spaces by homeomorphisms.
This describes reversible dynamics \cong deterministic systems.

Question

How to encode irreversible dynamics?

- ▶ Basic case: non-invertible local homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ We are going to attach **two** étale groupoids to f .
- ▶ We combine both cases through **topological correspondences**.
- ▶ We interpret these groupoids in dynamical terms.

Lifting a continuous map to a homeomorphism

- ▶ Let $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ be a **continuous map**.
- ▶ Take the projective limit $Y := \varprojlim (X, \sigma)$.
 σ induces shift **homeomorphisms**

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}: Y &\rightarrow Y, & \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}: Y &\rightarrow Y, \\ (x_0, x_1, \dots) &\mapsto (\sigma(x_0), x_0, \dots), & (x_0, x_1, \dots) &\mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Note $(\sigma(x_0), x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (\sigma(x_0), \sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2), \sigma(x_3), \dots)$.
- ▶ Take the transformation groupoid $Y \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ If X is locally compact and σ is proper, then $Y \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is an étale, locally compact groupoid.

Theorem

Let X be a locally compact space and $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ a proper, continuous map.

Then $C^*(Y \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ is the **Stacey crossed product** of the endomorphism σ^* of $C_0(X)$.

Physical interpretation

Question

Can $Y \times \mathbb{Z}$ describe non-deterministic dynamical systems? – No.
It describes a **deterministic system with incomplete records**.

- ▶ Interpret X as the state space of a dynamical system.
- ▶ A point in Y is a family of states $x_n \in X$ with $\sigma(x_{n+1}) = x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ Interpret σ as a forward time step.
- ▶ A point in Y is a possible **complete past history** of the system.
- ▶ $\tilde{\sigma}(x_0, x_1, x_2) := (\sigma(x_0), x_0, x_1, \dots)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(x_0, x_1, x_2) := (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ are the **forward** and **backward** time steps on Y .

The groupoid of Renault and Deaconu

X locally compact space

σ local homeomorphism $X \rightarrow X$

- ▶ The following is a groupoid:

$\mathcal{G}^{(0)} = X$

$\mathcal{G} = \{(x, n, y) : \exists k, l \geq 0 : l - k = n, \sigma^k(x) = \sigma^l(y)\}$

$r(x, n, y) := x$

$s(x, n, y) := y$

$m(x, n, y) \cdot (y, m, z) := (x, n + m, z)$

- ▶ We describe a topology on \mathcal{G} to make it an étale groupoid. We give a basis of the topology consisting of open bisections.
- ▶ Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $U_1, U_2 \subseteq X$ be open subsets such that $\sigma^k|_{U_1}$ and $\sigma^l|_{U_2}$ are injective and $\sigma^k(U_1) = \sigma^l(U_2)$. The set of $(x, l - k, y)$ with $x \in U_1$, $y \in U_2$, $\sigma^k(x) = \sigma^l(y)$ is a bisection of \mathcal{G} .
- ▶ These subsets are a basis for a topology on \mathcal{G} .

Physical interpretation

- ▶ The object space of \mathcal{G} is the state space X .
- ▶ σ describes the **backwards** time step.
- ▶ For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x \sim_k y \iff \sigma^k(x) = \sigma^k(y)$.
- ▶ This is an increasing sequence of equivalence relations on X .
- ▶ Each \sim_k is closed in $X \times X$ and gives a proper étale groupoid without isotropy: “proper equivalence relation”.
- ▶ The union $\sim_\infty = \bigcup_k \sim_k$ is the set of all $(x, y) \in X^2$ with $(x, 0, y) \in \mathcal{G}$.
- ▶ $x \sim_\infty y \iff x, y$ have a common past.
- ▶ Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then
 - $(x, n, y) \in \mathcal{G} \iff x, \sigma^n(y)$ have a common past,
 - $(x, -n, y) \in \mathcal{G} \iff \sigma^n(x), y$ have a common past.

Topological correspondences

- ▶ We have built **two** étale groupoids for a local homeomorphism $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ One groupoid treats σ as the forward time step of a system where the present does not determine the past.
- ▶ One groupoid treats σ as the backward time step of a system where the present does not determine the future.
- ▶ A **topological correspondence** describes dynamical systems where the present determines neither future nor past.
- ▶ To build an étale groupoid from a topological correspondence, we first build a space of complete histories.
It carries a dynamical system where the present determines the past.
Then we take the Renault–Deaconu groupoid.

Topological correspondences – the definition

Definition

A **topological correspondence** on a locally compact space X is a locally compact space Z with a local homeomorphism $\sigma: Z \rightarrow X$ and a continuous map $\varrho: Z \rightarrow X$.

It is called **proper** if ϱ is a proper map.

Interpretation

X possible situations

Z possible events during one time step

$\varrho(z)$ situation after event z

$\sigma(z)$ situation before event z

Composition of topological correspondences

Lemma

Let $(Z_i, \varrho_i, \sigma_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be topological correspondences on X .

$$Z_1 \circ Z_2 := \{(z_1, z_2) \in Z_1 \times Z_2 : \sigma_1(z_1) = \varrho_2(z_2)\}$$

with $\varrho(z_1, z_2) := \varrho_1(z_1)$, $\sigma(z_1, z_2) := \sigma_2(z_2)$

is a topological correspondence on X .

It is proper if both factors are proper topological correspondences.

Interpretation

$Z^{\circ n}$ is the set of chains of events that can occur in n time steps.

ϱ and σ are the situations **after** and **before** such a chain of n events.

Complete histories

Let (Z, ϱ, σ) be a topological correspondence on X .

Definition (space of complete histories)

Let $\Omega = \varprojlim (Z^{\circ n}, \pi_n)$ for the maps

$$\pi_n: Z^{\circ n} \rightarrow Z^{\circ n-1}, (z_0, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}).$$

An element of Ω is $(z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{\mathbb{N}} Z$ with $\sigma(z_j) = \varrho(z_{j+1})$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define $\Sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega, (z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots) \mapsto (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots)$.

Lemma

Σ is a local homeomorphism on Ω .

If ϱ is proper, then Ω is locally compact.

Proof.

- ▶ $\Omega \cong Z \times_{\sigma, X, \varrho} \Omega$
- ▶ Σ becomes the canonical projection $Z \times_{\sigma, X, \varrho} \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$.
- ▶ This is a local homeomorphism because $\sigma: Z \rightarrow X$ is.
- ▶ Apply Tychonov's Theorem to the preimage in Ω of a compact subset of X .



The groupoid defined by a topological correspondence

- ▶ Let (Z, ϱ, σ) be a topological correspondence on X .
- ▶ We have built the space Ω of complete histories and a local homeomorphism $\Sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$.
- ▶ The map Σ forgets the last event in a complete history.
- ▶ We interpret it as a backward time step in a dynamical system where the present determines the past but not the future.
- ▶ We associate to this the Renault–Deaconu groupoid of $\Sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$.

An equivalent language

top. correspondence	directed topological graph
X	vertex space
Z	edge space
$\varrho(z), \sigma(z)$	initial and terminal vertex of edge z
Ω	space of infinite paths
$\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$	groupoid model of top. graph C^* -algebra
	if ϱ is proper and surjective

An easy example

X $X = \text{pt}$

Z $Z = \{0, 1\}$

Ω consists of infinite binary words $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $z_n \in \{0, 1\}$.

Remarkably complicated given X and Z .

Σ shift on infinite binary words

\sim_∞ tail equivalence: $z_n = w_n$ for $n \gg 0$

Proposition (Renault)

The groupoid C^ -algebra in this example is the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 .*

Étale groupoid correspondences

- ▶ We are going to define an analogue of topological correspondences between two groupoids.
- ▶ A (proper) groupoid correspondence on a space X is a (proper) topological correspondence on X .
- ▶ A proper groupoid correspondence on a group \mathcal{G} is a self-similarity of \mathcal{G} .
- ▶ A proper groupoid correspondence on $V \rtimes \mathcal{G}$ for an action of a group \mathcal{G} on a discrete set V is **almost** a self-similar graph with vertex set V (Exel–Pardo). We get the slightly more general self-similar groupoid actions on graphs by Laca–Raeburn–Ramagge–Whittaker.

Actions of étale groupoids

- ▶ Let \mathcal{G} be an étale groupoid and Z a topological space.
- ▶ A (left) \mathcal{G} -action on Z consists of a continuous map $\varrho: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ (“anchor map”) and a continuous map $\mu: \mathcal{G} \times_{s, \mathcal{G}^{(0)}, \varrho} Z \rightarrow Z$, $(g, z) \mapsto g \cdot z$, such that
 - ▶ $1_{\varrho(z)} \cdot z = z$ for all $z \in Z$, and
 - ▶ $(g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot z = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot z)$ if $s(g_1) = r(g_2)$, $s(g_2) = \varrho(z)$.
- ▶ The action is **free and proper** if $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \times_{s, \mathcal{G}^{(0)}, \varrho} Z \rightarrow Z \times Z$, $(g, z) \mapsto (g \cdot z, z)$, is a homeomorphism onto a closed subset.
- ▶ The image of φ is closed $\iff \mathcal{G} \backslash Z$ is Hausdorff in the quotient topology.
- ▶ Right \mathcal{G} -actions are defined similarly. We write σ for their anchor maps.

Étale groupoid correspondences – the definition

Definition (étale groupoid correspondence)

Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be étale groupoids.

An **étale groupoid correspondence** $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a locally (quasi)compact space Z with commuting actions $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright Z \curvearrowleft \mathcal{H}$, such that

- ▶ $\sigma: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ is a local homeomorphism, and
- ▶ the right \mathcal{H} -action on Z is free and proper.

A groupoid correspondence is **proper/tight** if the map $Z/\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ induced by ϱ is **proper/a homeomorphism**.

Remark

We view a groupoid correspondence as an arrow from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{G} . We go from right to left because we think of ϱ and σ as generalised **range** and **source** maps.

Some variations

- ▶ The technicalities in the definition are essential.
- ▶ There is half a dozen different interesting classes of commuting actions for two groupoids.
- ▶ A locally (quasi)compact space Z with commuting actions $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright Z \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$ is
 - ▶ a **Morita equivalence** if both actions are free and proper and ϱ and σ induce homeomorphisms $Z/\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{G} \backslash Z \cong \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$;
 - ▶ a **Hilsum–Skandalis morphism** $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ if the \mathcal{H} -action is free and proper and ϱ induces a homeomorphism $Z/\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.
- ▶ A groupoid correspondence is tight \iff it is also a Hilsum–Skandalis morphism.
- ▶ Unlike a Hilsum–Skandalis morphism, a groupoid correspondence $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}$ induces a C^* -correspondence between $C^*(\mathcal{G})$ and $C^*(\mathcal{H})$.

Examples of groupoid correspondences

- ▶ A (proper) groupoid correspondence on a space X is a (proper) topological correspondence on X .
- ▶ A proper groupoid correspondence on a group G is a self-similarity of G .
- ▶ A proper groupoid correspondence on $V \rtimes G$ for an action of a group G on a discrete set V is **almost** a self-similar graph with vertex set V (Exel–Pardo). We get the slightly more general self-similar groupoid actions on graphs by Laca–Raeburn–Ramagge–Whittaker.

Topological correspondences as groupoid correspondences

- ▶ Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be locally compact spaces viewed as groupoids with only identity arrows.
- ▶ A groupoid correspondence $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a locally compact space Z with a local homeomorphism $\sigma: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and a continuous map $\varrho: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.
- ▶ The map $Z \times_{\sigma, \mathcal{H}, \text{id}} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow Z \times Z$, $(z, h) \mapsto (z, z)$, is always a homeomorphism onto its image. The image is closed $\iff Z$ is Hausdorff.
- ▶ The correspondence is proper $\iff \varrho$ is proper.
- ▶ The correspondence is tight $\iff \varrho$ is a homeomorphism.
- ▶ In the tight case, the groupoid correspondence is isomorphic to one where $Z = \mathcal{G}$ and $\varrho = \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}}$.
- ▶ Thus a tight groupoid correspondence $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to a local homeomorphism $\sigma: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

Self-similarities of groups

- ▶ Let G and H be groups and let Z be a groupoid correspondence $G \leftarrow H$.
- ▶ $\sigma: Z \rightarrow H^{(0)} = \{\text{pt}\}$ local homeomorphism $\implies Z$ discrete
- ▶ Since the right H -action on Z is free and proper,
 $Z \cong A \times H$ with $(a, h_1) \cdot h_2 = (a, h_1 \cdot h_2)$.
Here A may be taken to be a fundamental domain or Z/H .
- ▶ Must have $g \cdot (a, h) = (g \cdot a, g|_a \cdot h)$ for some left G -action on A and some map $G \times A \rightarrow H$, $(g, a) \mapsto g|_a$.
- ▶ $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot (a, h)) = (g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot (a, h) \iff$
 $(g_1 \cdot g_2)|_a = g_1|_{g_2 \cdot a} \cdot g_2|_a$.
- ▶ The groupoid correspondence is proper $\iff A$ is finite.
If $G = H$, then this is a **self-similarity** of G .
Nekrashevych calls Z a “covering permutational bimodule”.
- ▶ The groupoid correspondence is tight $\iff |A| = 1 \iff$
 $Z \cong H$ as a right H -space.
Then the left action is a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow H$.